

You don't often get email from a.mahawar@kokonetworks.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear UNFCCC Team,

Thanks a lot for providing us the opportunity to submit feedback on the agenda points and associated documents of the SB meetings. Please find below our feedback on the agenda and draft documents of the 10th meeting of A6.4 Supervisory Body for your consideration.

[A6.4-SB010-AA-A05](#) - SDG Tool Draft

The other standards, specifically GCC (Global Carbon Council) has developed a very elaborate and rigorous framework which captures both SDG impact assessment and E&S safeguards. Most importantly, it has already undergone a number of revisions after being implemented on 1000 projects. The adoption of the same tool will help in reducing the learning time required for reporting and assessment during validation.

[A6.4-SB010-AA-A08](#) - Further work on the methodological products for the Article 6.4 mechanism

It is surprising to note that AMS-I.E. methodology is not included in the list of methodologies that may be prioritized (Table 3). The prioritization of methodologies shall take into account future trends instead of historical projects. All conscious carbon developers and buyers have already moved to 100% clean cooking solutions instead of "improved cookstoves". We propose to include AMS-I.E. methodology for prioritization as it is already well-aligned with Paris Goals.

There is growing evidence for ineffectiveness of the low-quality and low-cost "improved" cookstove projects (AMS-II.G.) and hence receiving valid criticism by the global community. The UNFCCC team should analyze if they really wish to transition such technologies/methodologies which are fundamentally creating "emission lock-ins".

You may please contact me if you have any questions. Thank You.