



United Nations
Climate Change

Reflection session on FMCP

led by SBI chair

SBI62, 23 June 2025

Overview of statistics and initial


UN Climate change
Transparency Division

fmcp@unfccc.int

First Facilitative, Multilateral Consideration of Progress (FMCP1)

A platform for Parties to engage in open dialogue, share experiences and learn from each other's best practices in implementing Paris Agreement NDCs.

The scope, format and steps of the FMCP as set out in the MPGs, Decision 18/CMA.1



Written Q&A

3 months before the SBI session



Mandatory working group session

Presentation + combined oral Q&A
(2 hours for 3 Parties)



Voluntary “Informal Dialogue on FMCP” World café format
(1.5 h for 3 Parties)



SCAN ME!
FMCP page

#Together4Transparency

FMCP1 working group session at a glance

Well-attended event and engaging FMCP1 signals interest in mutual learning and commitment to implementing the Enhanced Transparency Framework



3 Parties under FMCP1 – Andorra, Guyana, Panama



Over 100 participants, Parties and observers



15 Parties raised questions



21 questions were raised

Nature of questions in written Q&A and oral Q&A


Majority of questions raised by Parties were of technical nature; response to these required diverse technical knowledge of the respondents. Comprehensive responses to the technical questions received in written Q&A phase

Policy questions

include i.e. experience, challenges and improvements needed in implementation and achievement of NDCs, mitigation actions and institutional arrangements

Technical questions

include i.e. data gaps, collection, management, methodologies and assumptions used and application of flexibility;



Informal FMCP dialogue “World Café” at a glance

FMCP1 informal dialogue allowed practitioners and policy makers to dive deeper into the experience of Parties into their national circumstances, achievements and challenges in implementing their national climate policy and preparing their BTR1




Is current format of working group session conducive to future FMCPs to cover 25-40 Parties per session?

Current format of working group session presents structural limitations to accommodate the required number of Parties

32 min were used per Party
@ FMCP1 for Statement,
Pre-recorded presentation
and Q&A

Applying the same
approach for 25 Parties
would require 2.5
negotiations days and for 40
Parties – 4 negotiations
days

Fine-tuning the format of a
WGS might be needed to
accommodate all Parties
and benefit from sensible
exchange




Time use at FMCP Working Group Session, 120 min


FMCP1 feedback survey results

Feedback provided by 51 Parties and 6 observers shows overall positive response

How satisfied were you with the formal FMCP1 working group session on June 21 from 10:00 to 12:00?




How satisfied were you with the informal FMCP1 dialogue in world cafe format on June 21 from 12:00 to 14:00?



Feedback survey results

FMCP working group sessions in 2026 would need to accommodate 40 Parties each, How can FMCP enhance its impact, effectiveness, and participation?



- 20 Create space for sharing achievements and lessons in implementing NDC
- 18 Create space for sharing challenges and needs in implementing NDC
- 12 Through formal thematic dialogues (i.e. adaptation, mitigation, support provided and received, progress made towards the targets)
- 17 Through Informal dialogues in World cafe format
- 17 By focusing the working group session on policy implementation while addressing questions of technical nature during the written Q&A phase.

Feedback survey results

Suggestions for future FMCP includes ...

First session was too short for formal questions. Need longer or clarify that there will be further opportunities for questions in the world cafe format

In the world cafe style, it is sometimes hard to hear conversation of our group due to other groups talk. However, this style leads to relaxed and honest discussion.

Everything good

The informal session was interactive and engaging! Keep it up!

Too little time for q&a in formal session. Informal session was better way to discuss questions and topics. Ice breaker was not needed (too formal)

formal session - too much time used by secretariat - confusing to have questions to all parties at the same time
Informal The logistics would have to be thought better to avoid noise

Informal better than formal

Time between the formal working group and informal dialogue should be as minimal as possible. More clear instructions and diagrams of where everyone is to go would be helpful

The Q&A session should focus on the presentation prepared by the party with a limited amount of questions/strict time frame. Technical and detailed questions should be in the written QnA format.



Your views are welcome

How was your experience with FMCP1?

How can the FMCP format of the working group session be enriched to accommodate 25+ Parties in a single session?