

A6.4-SBM021-AA

Annotated agenda

Twenty-first meeting of the Supervisory Body

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 18 to 21 May 2026

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA		3
AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	3
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda	3
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	3
Agenda item 2.1.	Membership issues	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Strategic planning and direction	3
Agenda item 2.3.	Performance management	4
Agenda item 2.4.	Matters related to the Supervisory Body and its support structure	4
Agenda item 2.5.	Designated national authorities	4
AGENDA ITEM 3.	REGULATORY AND PROCEDURAL MATTERS	5
Agenda item 3.1.	Governance	5
Agenda item 3.2.	Accreditation	5
Agenda item 3.3.	Activity cycle	5
Agenda item 3.4.	Methodologies	6
Agenda item 3.5.	Registry	9
Agenda item 3.6.	Policy matters	10
AGENDA ITEM 4.	RULINGS	10
Agenda item 4.1.	Accreditation	10
Agenda item 4.2.	Registration	11
Agenda item 4.3.	Issuance	11
Agenda item 4.4.	Other rulings	11
AGENDA ITEM 5.	RELATION WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS...	11
AGENDA ITEM 6.	OTHER MATTERS	12
AGENDA ITEM 7.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	12
ANNEXES TO THE ANNOTATIONS [PAANNTITLE].....		13

Annotations to the proposed agenda

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Chair of the Supervisory Body of the mechanism established by Article 6, paragraph 4, of the Paris Agreement (Article 6.4 mechanism) (hereinafter referred to as the Supervisory Body) shall ascertain whether: (a) the members present constitute a quorum; and (b) “proper justification” has been provided for the absence of any member or alternate member.

Background: Paragraph 46 of the “Rules of procedure of the Supervisory Body”¹ (RoP) stipulates that at least three fourths of the members, including alternate members only when they are acting as members, shall constitute a quorum for meetings of the Supervisory Body.²

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the meeting.

Background: The proposed agenda for the meeting of the Supervisory Body will be presented for adoption.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues

3. ► **Action:** Members and alternate members of the Supervisory Body may wish to declare whether they have any conflict of interest relating to any issues on the agenda of the meeting.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 26 of the RoP, members and alternate members of the Supervisory Body shall avoid actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest and shall declare any actual, potential or perceived conflict of interest at the start of a meeting.

Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction

4. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note that no matters related to strategic planning and direction are to be considered at this meeting.

¹ See Decision 7/CMA.4, Annex II, available at https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cma2023_10a02E.pdf#page=46.

² Ibid.

Agenda item 2.3. Performance management

5. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of the update of the Article 6.4 mechanism two-year Business and Resource Allocation Plan (RAP) 2026–2027.

Background: The Supervisory Body, through its workplan, agreed to consider its two-year Business and Resource Allocation Plan 2026–2027 at its seventeenth meeting. The Supervisory Body will have opportunities at the mid-point of the implementation of its RAPs to review and monitor progress and make any adjustments to the allocation of resources as appropriate.

6. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of the updated “Workplan of the Supervisory Body 2026”, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: The Supervisory Body, at its twentieth meeting (SBM 020), approved its 2026 workplan and requested the secretariat to include any new mandates arising from meetings in future versions of the workplan for the Supervisory Body to take note of.

7. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of the updated “Workplan of the Methodological Expert Panel (MEP) 2026”, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: The Supervisory Body, at SBM 020, approved the workplan of the MEP for 2026 and requested the secretariat to include any new mandates arising from meetings in future versions of the workplan for the Supervisory Body to take note of.

Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Supervisory Body and its support structure

8. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of the operations of the Accreditation Expert Panel (AEP).

Background: The AEP held its seventh meeting on 28 and 29 April 2026 virtually to consider products related to the assessment of applications for accreditation.

9. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of the operations of the MEP.

Background: The MEP held its twelfth meeting (MEP 012) from 9 to 13 March 2026 and MEP 013 from 13 to 17 April 2026 to consider products related to methodologies, removals, and revisions to clean development mechanism (CDM) methodologies/tools.

10. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of the information on actions implemented by the secretariat in support of the MEP.

Background: The Supervisory Body held its strategy session in conjunction with SBM 020 and considered the matters related to the Supervisory Body and its support structure.

Agenda item 2.5. Designated national authorities

11. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of the 126 designated national authorities (DNAs) established as of 4 May 2026, and the 51 countries that have submitted information on the fulfilment of the host Party participation requirements, as listed on the UNFCCC website.

Background: The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement (CMA), in decision 3/CMA.3, annex, paragraph 26(c), stated that each host Party of Article 6.4 activities shall, prior to participating in the Article 6.4 mechanism, ensure that it has a DNA for the mechanism and has communicated that designation to the secretariat. At SBM 018, the Supervisory Body took note of the information on the work undertaken to support host Parties' participation in the Article 6.4 mechanism and agreed to include a stand-alone agenda item on this matter in future meetings, with a view to receiving regular updates regarding host Parties' participation in the Article 6.4 mechanism.

Agenda item 3. Regulatory and procedural matters

Agenda item 3.1. Governance

12. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider the concept note "Governance and transparency arrangements for the selection and operation of expert panels" and provide guidance.

Background: At SBM 018, the Supervisory Body requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note on the selection of the roster of experts and expert panels, including the review of provisions related to conflict of interest, code of conduct and confidentiality; proposed principles to guide the selection process; proposed rules for the re-selection of expert panel members, ensuring rotation and the onboarding of new experts, and an assessment of their implications; and exploration of web-casting small group meetings to enhance transparency, along with the disclosure of relevant reference materials on the UNFCCC website.³ The CMA, at its seventh session, requested the Supervisory Body to enhance the transparency of its decision-making and that of the MEP while safeguarding the productivity of their work.⁴

Agenda item 3.2. Accreditation

13. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider the recommendation of the AEP to approve the clarification concerning the "Standard: Article 6.4 Accreditation", version 02.0. The Supervisory Body may also wish to consider providing a mandate to the secretariat to incorporate the clarification into a future revision of the accreditation standard.

Background: At its seventh meeting, the AEP considered the queries related to the "Standard: Article 6.4 Accreditation", version 02.0. The recommendation of the AEP is contained in paragraph 12 of the AEP 007 meeting report.⁵

Agenda item 3.3. Activity cycle

14. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider the information note titled "Information note on the possible cases, and modalities for when the Supervisory Body

³ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM018.pdf>.

⁴ See https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cma2025_19_a03.pdf.

⁵ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-AEP007.pdf>.

might consider deregistration of activities” and provide guidance.

Background: At SBM 017, the Supervisory Body requested the secretariat to prepare, for its consideration at a future meeting, an information note on the possible cases, and modalities for when the SBM might consider deregistration of activities.

15. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider and adopt the revision to the “Article 6.4 sustainable development tool”, as contained in annex 3 to the annotated agenda.

Background: At SBM 014, the Supervisory Body adopted the “Article 6.4 SD tool”, which is required to be reviewed every 18 months. At SBM 017, the Supervisory Body considered the concept note on “Development of additional criteria for safeguards and guiding questions for carbon dioxide removal activities and land use, land-use change and forestry for the A6.4 sustainable development tool” and requested the secretariat to develop additional criteria and guiding questions for safeguards for carbon dioxide removal (CDR) methods with the technology readiness level (TRL) 6 and higher as per Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Sixth Assessment Report (2022) based on option 2 presented in the concept note. It further requested the secretariat to develop additional criteria and guiding questions for safeguards for CDR methods with TRL 8 and above (i.e., (a) afforestation/reforestation, (b) soil carbon sequestration in croplands and grasslands, (c) peatland and coastal wetland restoration, (d) agroforestry, and (e) improved forest management) by revising Appendix 1 of the draft Article 6.4 SD tool⁶ presented at SBM 011 and considering input received during SBM 011 and the requirements of the standard for application of the requirements of Chapter V.B (Methodologies) for the development and assessment of Article 6.4 mechanism methodologies (A6.4-STAN-METH-001) and the standard for requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism (A6.4-STAN-METH-002), together with the review of the Article 6.4 SD tool due in 2026.

Agenda item 3.4. Methodologies

16. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider and adopt the “Draft methodological tool: Analysis of lock-in risk”, as contained in annex 1 to the MEP 012 meeting report.⁷ The Supervisory Body may also wish to consider providing a mandate to the MEP to further work on a revision of the methodological tool to include Article 6.4 activities involving retrofit, refurbishment, and the addition of new components to existing facilities.

Background: The recommendation of the MEP is contained in paragraph 8 of the MEP 012 meeting report.⁸ The work was carried out following the mandate from the Supervisory Body, as mentioned in the MEP workplan 2026.⁹

17. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider and adopt the “Draft standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies”, as contained in annex 2 to

⁶ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SB011-AA-06.pdf>

⁷ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-MEP012-A01.pdf>

⁸ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-MEP012.pdf>.

⁹ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-INFO-GOV-029.pdf>.

the MEP 012 meeting report.¹⁰

Background: The recommendation of the MEP is contained in paragraph 9 of the MEP 012 meeting report.¹¹ The work was carried out following the mandate from the Supervisory Body, as mentioned in the MEP workplan 2026.¹²

18. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider and adopt the “Draft tool: Tool for calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass (f_{NRB})”, as contained in annex 4 to the MEP 012 meeting report.¹³ The Supervisory Body may also wish to consider providing a mandate to the MEP to:
- (a) Revise the methodological tool as soon as possible to (i) include default values for f_{NRB} for further countries, and (ii) conduct further analysis of the potential to apply the Modelling Fuelwood Saving Scenarios default scenarios version or user-defined scenarios version; and
 - (b) Revise the methodological tool once new data and methods to calculate the fraction of non-renewable biomass become available, and update the uncertainty estimates of the default values for f_{NRB} , not later than three years after initial adoption of the methodological tool.

Background: The recommendation of the MEP is contained in paragraphs 17 and 18 of the MEP 012 meeting report.¹⁴ The work was carried out following the mandate from the Supervisory Body, as mentioned in the MEP workplan 2026.¹⁵

19. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider and adopt the “Draft mechanism methodology: N₂O abatement from nitric acid production”, as contained in annex 1 to MEP 013 meeting report.¹⁶

Background: The recommendation of the MEP is contained in paragraph 10 the MEP 013 meeting report.¹⁷ The work was carried out following the mandate from the Supervisory Body, as mentioned in the MEP workplan 2026.¹⁸

20. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider providing a mandate to the MEP to align the mechanism methodology “A6.4-AMM-001: Flaring or use of landfill gas” with the other regulatory documents approved by the Supervisory Body.

¹⁰ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-MEP012-A02.pdf>.

¹¹ See footnote 8.

¹² See footnote 9.

¹³ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-MEP012-A04.pdf>.

¹⁴ See footnote 8.

¹⁵ See footnote 9.

¹⁶ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-MEP013-A01.pdf>.

¹⁷ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-MEP013.pdf>.

¹⁸ See footnote 9.

Background: The recommendation of the MEP is contained in paragraph 12 of the MEP 013 meeting report.¹⁹ The MEP considered the draft revision to mechanism methodology “A6.4-AMM-001: Flaring or use of landfill gas” and agreed to continue working on the draft mechanism methodology at its next meeting. The work was carried out following the mandate from the Supervisory Body, as mentioned in the MEP workplan 2026.

21. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider the recommendation of the MEP to approve the clarification prepared in response to the request for clarification “AMM_CLA_001: Applicability of A6.4-AMM-001 for landfill projects with pre-existing passive gas collection system” (version 01.0). The Supervisory Body may also wish to consider providing a mandate to the MEP to revise the mechanism methodology to incorporate the clarification following the decision by the Supervisory Body.

Background: The recommendation of the MEP is contained in paragraph 14 of the MEP 013 meeting report.²⁰ The work was carried out following the mandate from the Supervisory Body, as mentioned in the MEP work plan 2026.²¹ The information related to requests for clarification is available on the UNFCCC website.²²

22. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider providing a mandate to the MEP to:
- (a) Prepare the “Concept note: Revision of methodological standards and tools for application to programmes of activities”, noting the Supervisory Body’s earlier mandate to address large-scale crediting programmes;²³ and
 - (b) Revise any relevant methodological standards and tools to make them applicable to programmes of activities, including, inter alia, the following documents:
 - (i) “Standard: Setting the baseline in mechanism methodologies” (A6.4-STAN-METH-004);²⁴
 - (ii) “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies” (A6.4-STAN-METH-003);²⁵
 - (iii) “Standard: Addressing leakage in mechanism methodologies” (A6.4-STAN-METH-005);²⁶

¹⁹ See footnote 17.

²⁰ See footnote 17.

²¹ See footnote 9.

²² See <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/article-6/article-64-pacm/mechanism-process/methodologies/a64-amm-001/v01.0-clarification-request>.

²³ See table 1 of “Information note: Further work on the methodological products for the Article 6.4 mechanism” at <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/a64-sb010-a05.pdf>.

²⁴ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-STAN-METH-004.pdf>.

²⁵ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-STAN-METH-003.pdf>.

²⁶ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-STAN-METH-005.pdf>.

- (iv) “Standard: Addressing suppressed demand in mechanism methodologies” (A6.4-STAN-METH-006);²⁷
- (v) “Standard: Addressing non-permanence and reversals in mechanism methodologies” (A6.4-STAN-METH-007);²⁸
- (vi) “Methodological tool: Common practice analysis” (A6.4-AMT-001);²⁹ and
- (vii) “Methodological tool: Investment analysis” (A6.4-AMT-002).³⁰

Background: The request for mandate from the MEP is contained in paragraph 18 of the MEP 013 meeting report.³¹

23. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider providing a mandate to the secretariat to prepare a revised version of the following procedures, in consultation with the MEP, to include any provisions necessary to implement paragraph 101 of the “Draft mechanism methodology: Energy efficiency measures in household cooking”.
- (a) “Procedure: Article 6.4 mechanism registry” (A6.4-PROC-REGS-001);³²
 - (b) “Procedure: Article 6.4 activity cycle procedure for projects” (A6.4-PROC-AC-002);³³ and
 - (c) “Procedure: Article 6.4 activity cycle procedure for programmes of activities” (A6.4-PROC-AC-003).³⁴

Background: The request for mandate from the MEP is contained in paragraph 19 of the MEP 013 meeting report.³⁵ Paragraph 101 of the “Draft mechanism methodology: Energy efficiency measures in household cooking”, as contained in annex 2 to the MEP 013 meeting report refers to the application of the methodological tool under development to assess reversal risk, which will include a parameter $F_{buffer,t}$ that will determine the number of Article 6.4ERs to be contributed to the Reversal Risk Buffer Pool Account.³⁶

Agenda item 3.5. Registry

24. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider and adopt the revision to the “Procedure: Article 6.4 mechanism registry”, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

²⁷ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-STAN-METH-006.pdf>.

²⁸ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-STAN-METH-007.pdf>.

²⁹ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-AMT-001-v01.0.pdf>.

³⁰ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-AMT-002-v01.0.pdf>.

³¹ See footnote 17.

³² See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-PROC-REGS-001.pdf>.

³³ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-PROC-AC-002.pdf>.

³⁴ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-PROC-AC-003.pdf>.

³⁵ See footnote 17.

³⁶ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-MEP013-A02.pdf>.

Background: At SBM 018, the Supervisory Body agreed to continue its consideration of the draft “Procedure: Article 6.4 mechanism registry” at a future meeting. At the same meeting, the Supervisory Body requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note on matters related to addressing the termination of accounts including dormant accounts, and post-issuance authorization, for consideration at its twentieth meeting. At SBM 012, the Supervisory Body agreed to consider the concept note “Article 6.4 Registry processes for suspension, termination and reactivation of accounts” at a future meeting. The revision aims to address issues such as implementation of CMA guidance relating to authorization, suspension, reactivation and termination of accounts (including dormant accounts), transfer procedures (acceptance procedures in particular), account opening processes, interpretation of the removals standard, and structure of the procedure and other editorial improvement.

25. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider the updated concept note on “Considerations for repurposing the voluntary cancellation platform” and provide guidance, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

Background: At SBM 020, the Supervisory Body considered the concept note “Voluntary Cancellation Platform” and expressed its views on the repurposing of the platform. The Supervisory Body requested the secretariat to provide an updated concept note considering the guidance received, and to expand on the current presentation at its next meeting, with a view to having an agreed outcome by SBM 022.

Agenda item 3.6. Policy matters

26. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note that no policy matters are to be considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 4. Rulings

Agenda item 4.1. Accreditation

27. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider recommendations from the AEP on the initial accreditation of applicant entities (AEs).

Background: In accordance with paragraph 86 of the procedure for Article 6.4 accreditation, the recommendation from the AEP together with the independent review report, as applicable, shall be considered by the Supervisory Body.³⁷ The recommendation of the AEP is contained in paragraph 8 of the AEP 007 meeting report.

28. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider recommendations from the AEP on the outcome of a desk review for an AE.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 54 (b) of the procedure for Article 6.4 accreditation, the recommendation from the AEP shall be considered by the Supervisory Body.³⁸ The recommendation of the AEP is contained in paragraph 9 of the AEP 007 meeting report.

³⁷ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-PROC-ACCR-001.pdf>.

³⁸ Ibid.

Agenda item 4.2. Registration

29. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider recommendations received on the request for transition.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 43 of the “Procedure: Transition of CDM activities to the Article 6.4 mechanism” version 07.0, if a review of the request is requested by the host Party or any member or alternate member of the Supervisory Body, the case shall be considered by the Supervisory Body at its next meeting or electronically.

Agenda item 4.3. Issuance

30. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider recommendations on the requests received for finalization of a provisional request for issuance.

Background: In accordance with section 6 of the “Procedure: Transition of CDM activities to the Article 6.4 mechanism” (version 07.0), if a review of the request is requested by the host Party or any member or alternate member of the Supervisory Body, the case shall be considered by the Supervisory Body at its next meeting or electronically.

Agenda item 4.4. Other rulings

31. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note that no other rulings are to be considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 5. Relation with forums and other stakeholders

32. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of preparations for the side-event planned for the next meeting of the Subsidiary Bodies (SB 64).

Background: The Supervisory Body will confirm side event topics for future Conference of the Parties (COPs) and Subsidiary Body sessions at the meeting preceding the meeting taking place in conjunction with the COP or SB sessions.

33. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to take note of the update on work on the capacity-building programme.

Background: Under decision 3/CMA.3, the secretariat was requested to design and implement a capacity-building programme to assist Parties in voluntarily participating in the cooperative mechanisms under Article 6. The capacity-building programme is being implemented, including through the secretariat's Regional Collaboration Centres and other partners, in consultation with the Supervisory Body. At SBM 018, the Supervisory Body invited the secretariat to continue their efforts to track the impact of the capacity-building activities by different stakeholder groups, the feedback explicitly mapped against those stakeholder groups and the key performance indicators on the three broad categories of knowledge products, training and direct country support. The Supervisory Body underlined the importance of continued support to the Parties and Article 6.4 DNAs to enhance their understanding of and readiness to engage in the Article 6.4 mechanism. Furthermore, CMA.7 requested the Supervisory Body, with the support of the secretariat, to enhance capacity-building efforts and related reporting for the implementation of Article 6, paragraph 4, including strengthened support to DNAs through the capacity-building work

programme, in collaboration with relevant stakeholders, partners and regional centres, to ensure accessibility for developing countries.

34. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to interact with registered observers.

Background: In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, at each of its meetings, the Supervisory Body shall, subject to time constraints, allocate a time slot for interaction with registered observers.

35. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to interact with the Designated Operational Entities/Applicant Entities Coordination Forum (DOE/AE Coordination Forum).

Background: In accordance with paragraph 31 of the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Supervisory Body shall allocate time for interaction with the DOE/AE Coordination Forum through its chair on issues of interest to DOEs/AEs.

36. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider letters received from stakeholders, if any, and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, any letters addressed to the Supervisory Body that the Chair and the Vice-Chair have jointly decided to forward for consideration by the Supervisory Body at its next meeting will be considered if the letter is received more than 28 days prior to the meeting. Otherwise, the letter shall be placed on the agenda of the subsequent meeting unless otherwise decided by the Chair of the Supervisory Body.

37. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider public comments received on the documentation for this meeting.

Background: In accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”, the Supervisory Body shall receive public comments on meeting documentation until one week prior to the meeting, unless otherwise decided by the Chair.

Agenda item 6. Other matters

38. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

Agenda item 7. Conclusion of the meeting

39. ► **Action:** The Supervisory Body may wish to approve the report of the twenty-first meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken, as per the RoP.

Annexes to the annotations

- Annex 1 - Information note: Workplan of the Supervisory Body 2026 (ver. 02.0)
- Annex 2 - Information note: Workplan of the Methodological Expert Panel 2026 (ver. 02.0)
- Annex 3 - Draft Tool: Article 6.4 sustainable development tool (ver. 02.0)
- Annex 4 - Draft Procedure: Article 6.4 mechanism registry (ver. 05.0)
- Annex 5 - Concept note: Considerations for repurposing the voluntary cancellation platform (ver. 01.0)

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	4 May 2026	Initial publication.

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Annotated agenda
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: Article 6.4 Supervisory Body, annotated agenda, annotations
