

**A6.4-MEP010-AA**

## Annotated agenda

---

# Tenth meeting of the Methodological Expert Panel

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 1 to 5 December 2025

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA .....</b>		<b>3</b>
<b>AGENDA ITEM 1.</b>	<b>AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
<b>AGENDA ITEM 2.</b>	<b>GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 2.1.	Matters related to the Methodological Expert Panel.....	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders.....	4
<b>AGENDA ITEM 3.</b>	<b>REGULATORY MATTERS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
Agenda item 3.1.	Requirements for methodologies.....	4
Agenda item 3.2.	Removals .....	4
Agenda item 3.3.	Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools .....	5
Agenda item 3.4.	Standards/tools for Article 6.4 activities.....	6
Agenda item 3.5.	Governance related documents for Article 6.4 activities .....	6
<b>AGENDA ITEM 4.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING .....</b>	<b>6</b>

## Annotations to the proposed agenda

### Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

#### Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Co-Chair of the Article 6.4 mechanism Methodological Expert Panel (hereinafter referred to as the MEP) shall open the tenth meeting of the MEP.

#### Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the proposed agenda of the tenth meeting.

*Background:* The agenda was drawn up based on the MEP workplan, version 03.0, provided by the Supervisory Body at its 17<sup>th</sup> meeting.<sup>1</sup>

3. ► **Action:** The members of the MEP may wish to provide statements on any potential conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 28 of the “Terms of reference of the Article 6.4 mechanism expert panels”, members of a panel shall abide by the code of conduct of the Supervisory Body, as well as by the provisions related to conflict of interest and transparency of the Supervisory Body, taking into account specific confidentiality requirements. They shall declare whether they have a pecuniary or financial interest in an issue presented to them as a member of the panel, and if so, refrain from participating in any discussion or decision on the issue. Members of a panel shall not disclose any confidential or proprietary information that comes to their knowledge from serving on a panel.

### Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

#### Agenda item 2.1. Matters related to the Methodological Expert Panel

4. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to take note of the outcome of the 19<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Supervisory Body (SBM 019) relevant to the work of the MEP.

*Background:* The SBM 019 took note of the reports of the ninth meeting of the MEP.

5. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to take note of its meeting dates in 2026.

*Background:* The MEP shall decide its meeting dates for year 2026. The meeting dates for MEP are dependent on the Supervisory Body meeting dates, as the MEP meeting reports and annexes shall be published two weeks before the annotations deadline of the following Supervisory Body meeting.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM017-A02.pdf>.

## Agenda item 2.2. Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders

6. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to confirm the deadline for submissions to be considered at the 11<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MEP.

*Background:* The secretariat shall publish the deadlines for the submission of proposals of new methodologies to be considered by the MEP at its next meeting. The panel shall make every effort to initiate the consideration of the proposal at the meeting, considering the priorities set by the co-chairs of the panel for that meeting.

## Agenda item 3. Regulatory matters

### Agenda item 3.1. Requirements for methodologies

7. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Tool: Lock-in risk analysis”.

*Background:* MEP 008 agreed to continue to work on the “Tool: Lock-in risk analysis”, including its overall structure of analysis, applicability, and scope of the tool aligning with the specific conditions and parameters, and their requirements in the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies”. Following the mandate received from SBM 018, the MEP is also expected to propose a revision to the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies” addressing some elements identified in the work of this tool.

### Agenda item 3.2. Removals

8. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Tool: Reversal risk assessment”, including:
- (a) Whether upper limits are needed in respect of the risk rating (overall) or specific risk factors (within the tool), including options and science-based rationales for upper limit(s);
  - (b) Risk rating that constitutes a negligible risk;
  - (c) Any further categorization of risk;
  - (d) How remediation measures are taken into account in the risk assessment tool.

*Background:* MEP 008 agreed to continue to work on the “Tool: Reversal risk assessment”, including whether upper limits are needed with respect to the overall risk rating or specific risk factors that will be included within the tool, including options and science-based rationales for upper limit(s), risk rating that constitutes a negligible risk, any further categorization of risk, and how remediation measures are taken into account in the risk assessment tool.

### **Agenda item 3.3. Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools**

9. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following clean development mechanism (CDM) methodologies for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources and associated methodological tool to calculate emission factor for an electricity system:

- (a) ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources;
- (b) AMS-I.D.: Grid-connected renewable electricity generation;
- (c) Tool: Emission factor for an electricity system.

*Background:* MEP 008 agreed to continue to work on the revision of “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, “AMS-I.D.: Grid-connected renewable electricity generation” and “Tool: Emission factor for an electricity system”.

10. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following CDM methodologies, tool related to clean cooking and associated standard, and guidelines related to sampling:

- (a) AMS-II.G.: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass;
- (b) AMS-I.E.: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user;
- (c) Tool: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass;
- (d) Standard: Sampling and Surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities;
- (e) Guidelines: Sampling and Surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities.

*Background:* MEP 007 considered the revision of “AMS-II.G.: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”, “AMS-I.E.: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user”, “Tool: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass”, “Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities” and the “Guidelines: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities”, and agreed to continue to work on these methodologies, the tools, the standard and the guidelines at its next meeting.

11. ► **Action:** MEP may wish to consider the revision to the following CDM methodological tools:

- (a) Tool: Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation;
- (b) Tool: Determine the remaining lifetime of equipment;
- (c) Tool: Project and leakage emissions from biomass.

*Background:* MEP 008 agreed to prioritize the work on the revision of the following tools: “Tool: Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation”, “Tool: Determine the remaining lifetime of

equipment”, and “Tool: Project and leakage emissions from biomass”, and to continue working on the revision of these tools at its next meeting.

### **Agenda item 3.4. Standards/tools for Article 6.4 activities**

12. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the following proposed new methodology submissions from stakeholders:

- (a) PNM001: Production of Ammonia through electrolysis of water, air separation and synthesis of hydrogen and nitrogen;
- (b) PNM002: N<sub>2</sub>O abatement from nitric acid production;
- (c) PNM004: Comprehensive Lowered Emission Assessment and Reporting (CLEAR) Methodology for Cooking Energy Transitions.

*Background:* MEP 007 considered the proposed new methodologies “PNM001: Production of Ammonia through electrolysis of water, air separation and synthesis of hydrogen and nitrogen”, “PNM002: N<sub>2</sub>O abatement from nitric acid production”, and “PNM004: Comprehensive Lowered Emission Assessment and Reporting (CLEAR) Methodology for Cooking Energy Transitions”, and requested the methodology proponent to make revisions to the proposed methodology submission addressing the issues identified by MEP 007. The MEP 007 agreed to continue working on these methodologies at a future meeting. Development of new methodologies and tools is based on bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies or top-down processes in accordance with the “Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”. The bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies that are open for stakeholder consultation are available at the UNFCCC website.<sup>2</sup>

### **Agenda item 3.5. Governance related documents for Article 6.4 activities**

13. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to take note of the “Glossary: Article 6.4 mechanism terms” and provide input to it.

*Background:* The Supervisory Body at its 11<sup>th</sup> meeting<sup>3</sup> noted that the secretariat is developing a glossary of terms containing common and key terms used in the various rules and references related documents applicable for the Article 6.4 mechanism activities.

## **Agenda item 4. Conclusion of the meeting**

14. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the report of the tenth meeting.

-----

---

<sup>2</sup> Refer to <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/paris-agreement-crediting-mechanism/methodologies#submissions>.

<sup>3</sup> <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/a64-sb011.pdf>.

### Document information

---

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	17 November 2025	Initial publication

---

Decision Class: Operational  
Document Type: Annotated agenda  
Business Function: Governance  
Keywords: MEP, annotated agenda, annotations

---