

A6.4-MEP006-AA

Annotated agenda

Sixth meeting of the Methodological Expert Panel

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 26 to 30 May 2025

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA		3
AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	3
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	3
Agenda item 2.1.	Matters related to the Methodological Expert Panel.....	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders.....	4
AGENDA ITEM 3.	REGULATORY MATTERS	4
Agenda item 3.1.	Requirements for methodologies.....	4
Agenda item 3.2.	Removals	5
Agenda item 3.3.	Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools	5
Agenda item 3.4.	Standards/tools	6
AGENDA ITEM 4.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING.....	6

Annotations to the proposed agenda

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Co-Chair of the Article 6.4 mechanism Methodological Expert Panel (hereinafter referred to as the MEP) shall open the sixth meeting of the MEP.

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the proposed agenda of the sixth meeting.

Background: The agenda was drawn up based on the Draft MEP workplan, version 02.0, which was published as an annex to the annotations of the Supervisory Body at its 16th meeting¹, and the mandates provided by the Supervisory Body at its 15th meeting.²

3. ► **Action:** The members of the MEP may wish to provide statements on any potential conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 28 of the “Terms of reference of the Article 6.4 mechanism expert panels”, members of a panel shall abide by the code of conduct of the Supervisory Body, as well as by the provisions related to conflict of interest and transparency of the Supervisory Body, taking into account specific confidentiality requirements. They shall declare whether they have a pecuniary or financial interest in an issue presented to them as a member of the panel, and if so, refrain from participating in any discussion or decision on the issue. Members of a panel shall not disclose any confidential or proprietary information that comes to their knowledge from serving on a panel.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Matters related to the Methodological Expert Panel

4. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to take note of the outcome of the 16th meeting of the Supervisory Body (SBM 016) relevant to the work of the MEP.

Background: The SBM 016, scheduled to take place from 12 to 16 May, will consider the recommendations made by the MEP at its fifth meeting

¹ [A6.4-SBM016-AA-A03](#) - Information note: Draft Workplan of the Methodological Expert Panel 2025 (v. 02.0).

² [A6.4-SBM015-A02](#) - Information note: Workplan of the Methodological Expert Panel 2025.

Agenda item 2.2. Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders

5. ► **Action:** The MEP members may wish to confirm the deadline for submissions to be considered at the 7th meeting of the MEP.

Background: The secretariat shall publish the deadlines for the submission of proposals of new methodologies to be considered by the MEP at its next meeting.

Agenda item 3. Regulatory matters

Agenda item 3.1. Requirements for methodologies

6. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Tool: Investment analysis”.

Background: MEP 004 considered the draft “Tool: Investment analysis” and agreed to continue working on the draft tool at its next meeting, including consideration of issues in relation to the possible confidentiality of data needed for the investment analysis and, where necessary, propose any respective provisions. SBM 015 approved the MEP workplan and requested the MEP to further explore issues related to the possible confidentiality of data needed for the investment analysis.

7. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the draft “Tool: Common practice analysis”.

Background: SBM 015 approved the MEP workplan and requested the MEP to initiate work on a methodological tool on common practice analysis.

8. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the draft “Tool: Lock-in risk analysis”.

Background: SBM 015 approved the MEP workplan and requested the MEP to initiate work on a methodological tool on lock-in risk analysis.

9. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Standard: Suppressed demand”. According to the MEP workplan adopted by SBM015, the MEP is expected to launch a call for public input on this agenda item at this meeting.

Background: MEP 005 considered the “Standard: Suppressed demand” including the definitions and metrics for basic human needs and minimum service level and agreed to continue working on the draft standard at its next meeting.

10. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the draft “Concept note: Applicability of removal guidance to emission reductions activities and vice versa”.

Background: SBM 015 approved the MEP workplan and requested the MEP to initiate work on a concept note on applicability of removal guidance to emission reductions activities and vice versa.

Agenda item 3.2. Removals

11. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Standard: Addressing non-permanence/reversals in mechanism methodologies”, including:
- (a) Post-crediting period monitoring, reporting, and remediation of reversals, post-reversal action, and host Party roles;
 - (b) Late, incomplete or missing monitoring report submissions, and treatment of activities for which a reversal results in a removals level that falls below baseline;
 - (c) Reversal risk assessment; and
 - (d) Avoidable and unavoidable reversals, and reversal compensation.

The MEP is expected to launch a call for public input on this agenda item at this meeting.

Background: MEP 005 continued its consideration of the development of the documents related to removals, based on “Standard: Requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism” (document A6.4-SBM014-A06) and as mandated by the Supervisory Body (document A6.4-SBM014-A01), and continued its work on the development of the draft “Standard: Addressing non-permanence/reversals”, discussed topics such as reversal risk and insurance practices related to non-permanence, and agreed to continue working on the draft standard at its next meeting. At MEP 005 the MEP considered the issues related to reversal-related notifications and the procedure to be followed in the cases of late, incomplete or missing monitoring reports. The MEP also considered risk assessment plan development and agreed that risk assessment should be conducted on a greenhouse gas reservoir specific basis.

12. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the draft “Concept note: Development of the additional safeguards criteria and guiding questions for carbon dioxide removal activities and for land use, land-use change and forestry for the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool”.

Background: SBM 015 approved the SBM workplan and requested the secretariat to develop a concept note on development of the additional safeguards criteria and guiding questions for carbon dioxide removal activities and for land use, land-use change and forestry for the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool in consultation with the MEP.

Agenda item 3.3. Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools

13. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following clean development mechanism (CDM) methodologies for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources and associated tool to calculate emission factor for an electricity system:
- (a) ACM0002: Grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources;
 - (b) AMS-I.D.: Grid connected renewable electricity generation; and
 - (c) Tool: Emission factor for an electricity system.

Background: MEP 004 considered the revision of “ACM0002: Grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, “AMS-I.D.: Grid connected renewable electricity generation” and “Tool: Emission factor for an electricity system” and agreed to continue to

work on the methodology and associated tool at its next meeting, taking into consideration its ongoing work under agenda item 3.1 and agenda item 3.2 above.

14. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following CDM methodologies from waste management sector:

- (a) ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas;
- (b) Tool: Emissions from solid waste disposal sites;
- (c) Tool: Project emissions from flaring; and
- (d) Tool: Mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream.

Background: MEP 005 considered the revision of “ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas”, “Tool: Emissions from solid waste disposal sites”, “Tool: Project emissions from flaring”; and “Tool: Mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream” with further work on applicable oxidation factors in the top layer of solid waste disposal sites based on scientific inputs regarding the methodology and the associated tools and agreed to continue to work on the methodology and associated tools at its next meeting, taking into consideration its ongoing work under agenda item 3.1 and agenda item 3.2 above.

Agenda item 3.4. Standards/tools

(a). Standards for Article 6.4 activities

(i). New methodologies and tools

15. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the proposed new methodology submissions.

Background: Development of new methodologies and tools are based on bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies or top-down processes in accordance with the “Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”.

Agenda item 4. Conclusion of the meeting

16. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the report of the sixth meeting.

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	12 May 2025	Initial publication

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Annotated agenda
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: MEP, annotated agenda, annotations
