



RENEWAL OF CREDITING PERIOD VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 COMPONENT PROJECTS

(Version 02.0)

DETAILS OF THE COMPONENT PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT

Reference number of the CP:	>>		
Title of the CP:	>>		
Current crediting period of the CP:	Start date: Enter a date.	End date: Enter a date.	
	Number:	>>	
Next crediting period of the CP:	Start date: Enter a date.	End date: Enter a date.	
	Number:	>>	
UNFCCC reference number of the PoA:	>>		
PoA title:	>>		
PoA-DD version number to which this validation report applies:	>>		
Host Party:	Choose a Party.		
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines and versions:	Reference Number	Title	Version
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
Sectoral scopes:	>>		
Post-registration changes:	<input type="checkbox"/> This notification of renewal of crediting period <u>does not</u> include post-registration changes <input type="checkbox"/> This notification of renewal of crediting period includes the following post-registration changes: <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes: <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections to the CP-DD <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the design of component project <input type="checkbox"/> Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme		
	Current crediting period		Next crediting period

Estimated GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals (tCO ₂ e):	Estimated GHG emission reductions	Estimated net GHG removals	Total Estimated GHG emission reductions and net GHG removals	Estimated GHG emission reductions	Estimated net GHG removals	Total estimated GHG emission reductions and net GHG removals
	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>
DETAILS OF THE VALIDATION REPORT						
Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Version number: >>					
	Date of completion: Enter a date.					
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	Name:	>>				
	Reference number:	>>				
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	Name:	>>				
	Position:	>>				
	Signature:	>>				

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Chose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	>>

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk/documents review**

>>

C.2. On-site inspection*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

C.3. Interviews*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Compliance with CP-DD form	>>	>>	>>
Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party	>>	>>	>>
- Deviation from, clarification or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool	>>	>>	>>
- Validity of the original baseline scenario or its update	>>	>>	>>
- Update of the BAU scenario and emissions	>>	>>	>>
- Difference between the baseline and BAU emissions	>>	>>	>>

- Downward adjustment of the baseline and baseline emissions	>>	>>	>>
Addressing leakage	>>	>>	>>
- Estimation of emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Data and parameters fixed ex ante	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Risk assessment and monitoring plan for projects involving removals and for emission reduction projects with reversal risks	>>	>>	>>
A6.4 SD Tool Forms	>>	>>	>>
Demonstration of eligibility of the CPs	>>	>>	>>
Post-registration changes			
-			
- Permanent changes – corrections	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD tool forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – changes to the project design	>>	>>	>>
- Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme	>>	>>	>>
Start date and duration of the next crediting period	>>	>>	>>
Other (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for renewal of crediting period

D.1. Compliance with CP-DD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2. Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines**D.2.1. Application of methodologies**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.2. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.3. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.5. Deviation from, clarification or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

>>

D.3. Validity of the original baseline or its update

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.4. Update of the BAU scenario and emissions

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.5. Difference between the baseline and BAU emissions

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.6. Downward adjustment of the baseline and baseline emissions

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7. Addressing leakage

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.1. Estimation of emission reductions and/or net removals

D.7.1.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.1.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.2. Monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.3. Risk assessment for CPs involving removals and for emission reduction CPs with reversal risks

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.4. A6.4 SD Tool Forms

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.8. Start date and duration of the next crediting period

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.9. Demonstration of eligibility of the CPs

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.10. Post-registration changes

D.10.1. Permanent changes – corrections

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.10.2. Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.10.3. Permanent changes – changes to the design of component project

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.10.4. Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

Table 2. CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

Table 3. FARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>	Date:	Enter a date
	Requirements:	>>	

APPENDIX 5 – Assessment of the deviation from elements and criteria of the SD tool or its forms when it results in unavoidable negative impacts

SECTION 1. IMPACT OF THE DEVIATION	
Description of the impact of the deviation on the social, environmental and sustainable development impacts form the project:	>> <i>Provide description of the impact of the deviation on social, environmental and sustainable development impacts from the project.</i>

SECTION 2. ASSESSMENT OF THE DEVIATION	
Assessment of the deviation:	<p>Provide assessment whether all unavoidable negative impacts for each of the 11 elements of the A6.4 SD Tool, their implications and the monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators are identified and described following the requirements of the A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to avoid the negative impacts identified is provided. If complete avoidance is not possible, justifications for why avoidance is not technically and financially feasible are provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether of evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to minimize, mitigate and control the unavoidable negative impacts is provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool. If unavoidable negative impacts persisted, justifications for why further measures and actions are not technically and financially feasible as well as evidence of the remediation measures undertaken or to be undertaken are provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence that the unavoidable impacts comply with the 11th preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, as well as relevant and applicable national and international instruments to which the host Party is bound and applicable legislation in the host Party is provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the activity participants have duly informed local stakeholders and have duly invited them to provide comments in accordance with the requirements of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the comments received have been appropriately addressed in accordance with the provisions of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
DOE assessment:	<p>>></p> <p>Provide a clear and precise assessment of the case, based on evidence provided by the activity participant as per the activity standard, including input from local stakeholders if any.</p>

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form


1. General instructions

1. Compliance of validation with requirements, regulations and standards

- 1.1. When completing this form, comply with the “Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for programmes of activities”¹.
- 1.2. Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its validation conclusions. This information may include, but need not be limited to tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3. List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.
- 2.1. Complete this form in English.
- 2.2. Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language.
- 3.1. Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2. Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.
- 4.1. If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.
- 5.1. Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.2. Complete this form removing this Attachment.
- 6.1. The following acronyms are referred to in this form:
 - PoA: Article 6.4 mechanism programme of activities.
 - CP: component projects.
 - PoA-DD: programme of activities design document.
 - CP-DD: component project design document.
 - MoC: modalities of communication.
 - Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for programme of activities: validation and verification standard.
 - Article 6.4 activity standard for programme of activities: activity standard.

¹ The “Rules and Regulations” section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (<https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations>) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activity.

2. Specific instructions

 RENEWAL OF CREDITING PERIOD VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 COMPONENT PROJECTS (Version 02.0)													
DETAILS OF THE PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT													
Reference number of the CP:	>> Provide the UNFCCC reference number of the CP determined at the submission of the notification of prior consideration.												
Title of the CP:	>> <i>Provide the title of the CP name.</i>												
Current crediting period of the CP:	Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter a date. Number: >> <i>Provide the start date, end date and the current crediting period number (i.e. 1st or 2nd)</i>												
Next crediting period of the CP:	Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter a date. Number: >> <i>Provide the start date, end date and the next crediting period number (i.e. 2nd or 3rd)</i>												
UNFCCC reference number of the PoA:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC reference number of the CP determined at the submission of the notification of prior consideration.</i>												
PoA title:	>> <i>Provide the PoA title as indicated in the PoA-DD.</i>												
PoA-DD version number to which this validation report applies:	>> <i>Provide the version number of the PoA-DD to which this validation report is applied.</i>												
Host Party:	Choose a Party. <i>Select the host Party of the CP.</i>												
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines and versions:	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 33%;">Reference Number</th> <th style="width: 33%;">Title</th> <th style="width: 33%;">Version</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>>></td> <td>>></td> <td>>></td> </tr> <tr> <td>>></td> <td>>></td> <td>>></td> </tr> <tr> <td>>></td> <td>>></td> <td>>></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <i>Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers, titles and versions of all applied methodologies, and where applicable, all applied standardized baselines, using one line per applied methodology or standardized baseline.</i>	Reference Number	Title	Version	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>
Reference Number	Title	Version											
>>	>>	>>											
>>	>>	>>											
>>	>>	>>											
Sectoral scopes:	>> <i>Provide all sectoral scopes of the project based on the applied methodologies, using one line per sectoral scope.</i>												
Post-registration changes:	<input type="checkbox"/> This notification of renewal of crediting period <u>does not</u> include post-registration changes <i>Check this option of no post-registration change is submitted within the request for renewal of the crediting period</i> <input type="checkbox"/> This notification of renewal of crediting period includes the following post-registration changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections to the CP-DD 												

	<input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the design of component project <input type="checkbox"/> Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme <i>Check one or more of the options above, as applicable, if a post-registration change is submitted with this request for renewal of the crediting period.</i>					
Estimated GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals (tCO₂e):	Current crediting period			Next crediting period		
	Estimated GHG emission reductions	Net GHG removals	Total Estimated GHG emission reductions and net GHG removals	Estimated GHG emission reductions	Net GHG removals	Total estimated GHG emission reductions and net GHG removals
	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>>
DETAILS OF THE VALIDATION REPORT						
Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Version number: >> <i>Provide the version number of this validation report</i> Date of completion: Enter a date.					
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	Name:	>> <i>Provide name of the DOE</i>				
	Reference number:	>> <i>Provide UNFCCC reference number of the DOE</i>				
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	Name:	>>				
	Position:	>>				
	Signature:	>>				
<i>Provide the name, position and include the digital signature of the approver of the validation report.</i>						

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

Provide a summary of the CP (including the purpose and general description and location), scope of the validation, validation process and its conclusion.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Chose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Provide the details of the validation team members.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	>>

Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the validation report.

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION A. Means of validation

A.1. Desk/documents review

>>

Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the validation process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.

A.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

- *Summarize any on-site inspection performed during the validation in the table.*
- *If the DOE have not conducted an on-site inspection, describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.*

A.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

Summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table.

A.4. Sampling approach

>>

Follow the instructions below:

1. *Sampling used for the validation of the renewal of the crediting period of CPs:*
 - *Summarize the sampling approach used during the validation (e.g. random sampling).*
2. *Sampling used for on-site inspection*
 - *Include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out.*

A.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
-----------------------------	------------	-------------	-------------

Compliance with CP-DD form	>>	>>	>>
Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party	>>	>>	>>
- Deviation from, clarification or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool	>>	>>	>>
Validity of the original baseline scenario or its update	>>	>>	>>
Update of the BAU scenario and emissions	>>	>>	>>
Difference between the baseline and BAU emissions	>>	>>	>>
Downward adjustment of the baseline and baseline emissions	>>	>>	>>
Addressing leakage	>>	>>	>>
Estimation of emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Data and parameters fixed ex ante	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
- Risk assessment and monitoring plan for projects involving removals and for emission reduction projects with reversal risks	>>	>>	>>
- A6.4 SD Tool Forms	>>	>>	>>
Demonstration of eligibility of the CPs	>>	>>	>>
Post-registration changes			
-			
- Permanent changes – corrections	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – changes to the project design	>>	>>	>>
- Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme	>>	>>	>>
Start date and duration of the next crediting period	>>	>>	>>

Other (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

Indicate the number of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and outcome from the DOE) in Appendix 4.

SECTION A. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for renewal of crediting period

Complete tables to validate the compliance in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:

- (a) Means of validation: describe how the compliance was validated.
- (b) Findings: provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised.
- (c) Conclusion: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

A.1. Compliance with CP-DD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the information transferred to the later valid version of the CP-DD form is materially the same as that in the registered CP-DD.

A.2. Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines

A.2.1. Application of methodologies

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application of the methodologies and the other methodological regulatory documents in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

A.2.2. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application methodological tools quoted by the selected methodologies in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodological tools with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

A.2.3. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application and selection of the standardized baselines and the other methodological regulatory documents in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

Explain how the compatibility of the application of the standardized baselines with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

A.2.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application and selection of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, the other methodological regulatory documents in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

A.2.5. Deviation from, clarification or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

>>

Indicate whether a clarification was sought or a request for deviation was requested for the selected methodology, methodological tool or any other applied methodological regulatory document prior to the notification of the renewal the crediting period of component projects, including the date of approval of such request.

If there is a deviation from the selected approved methodology or methodological tool, determine whether the activity participants had obtained a confirmation from the Supervisory Body that such deviation is acceptable in accordance with the "Procedure for development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools".

A.3. Validity of the original baseline or its update

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the validity of the original baseline scenario in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable methodology, standardized baseline and other applied methodological regulatory documents and applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of CPs in the validation and verification standard.

Explain how the update of the original baseline in the updated CP_DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable methodology, standardized baseline and other applied methodological regulatory documents and applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of CPs in the validation and verification standard.

A.4. Update of the BAU scenario and emissions

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the BAU scenario and emissions in the updated CP-DD were assessed in accordance with the applicable methodology, standardized baseline and other applied methodological regulatory documents and applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of CPs in the validation and verification standard.

A.5. Difference between the baseline and BAU emissions

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the difference between the baseline and BAU emissions in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable methodology, standardized baseline and other applied methodological regulatory documents and applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of CPs in the validation and verification standard.

A.6. Downward adjustment of the baseline and baseline emissions

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the downward adjustment of the baseline and baseline emissions in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable methodology, standardized baseline and other applied methodological regulatory documents and applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of CPs in the validation and verification standard.

A.7. Addressing leakage

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how leakage was assessed in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the applied methodological tools and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

A.8. Estimation of emission reductions and/or net removals

A.8.1.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the ex ante and ex post calculation of baseline, project and leakage emissions and/or net removals, as well as emission reductions and/or net removals for the proposed CP, including equations and parameters, were assessed to be aligned with the updated CP part of the PoA-DD and are in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

A.8.1.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the appropriateness of all data sources and assumptions for the corresponding CPs, including how they result in an accurate or otherwise conservative estimate of emission reductions or net removals, are in accordance with the applicable requirements of the applied methodologies, standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents to calculate baseline emissions/removals, project emissions/removals and leakage as well as emission reductions or net removals, are aligned with the generic CP part of the PoA-DD and are in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

A.8.2. Monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the modalities for developing the monitoring plan in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

A.8.3. Risk assessment for CPs involving removals and for emission reduction CPs with reversal risks

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the risk assessment for projects involving removals and for emission reduction projects with reversal risks in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable methodology, standardized baseline and other applied methodological regulatory documents and applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of CPs in the validation and verification standard

A.8.4. A6.4 SD Tool Forms

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the A6.4 SD Tool Forms in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements of the A6.4 SD Tool and applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of CPs in the validation and verification standard

A.9. Start date and duration of the next crediting period

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the start date and the length of the crediting period in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

State whether the length of the crediting period of the CP is in line with the same that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs, if applicable.

A.10. Demonstration of eligibility of the CPs

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the CP complies with each eligibility criterion for the inclusion of CPs in the registered PoA, as described in the registered PoA and the corresponding generic CPs, in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and the verification standard and the activity standard.

A.11. Post-registration changes**A.11.1. Permanent changes – corrections**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how corrections to the included CP-DD, effective from the start date of the new crediting period of the CP, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the validation and verification standard.

A.11.2. Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool effective from the start date of the new crediting period of the CP, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring, The A6.4 SD Tool Forms from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool in the validation and verification standard.

If the monitoring of environmental, social and sustainable development parameters results in unavoidable permanent negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting additional consultation with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, provide the related assessment as per appendix 5.

A.11.3. Permanent changes – changes to the design of component project

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the changes to the project design of the included CP, effective from the start date of the new crediting period of the CP, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design in the validation and verification standard.

If the permanent changes to the design of the project result in unavoidable permanent negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting additional consultation with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, provide the related assessment as per appendix 5.

A.11.4. Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compliance with the requirements relating to registration under or coverage by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, or subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme contained in the activity standard were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design in the validation and verification standard.

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

Use the table above to list all the abbreviations used in this report.

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewers.

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

Use the table above to list all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:

- (a) Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable.
- (b) Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s).
- (c) References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication and URL.
- (d) Provider: choose one of the following options to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review. Select 'Others' for documents that were provided by those other than the project participants.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>	Requirements:	>>
		Date:	Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>	Evidences provided:	>>
		Date:	Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>	Date:	Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CL corresponds.

Table 2. CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CAR corresponds.

Table 3. FARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each FAR. In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each FAR corresponds.

APPENDIX 5 – Assessment of the deviation from elements and criteria of the SD tool or its forms when it results in unavoidable negative impacts

SECTION 1. IMPACT OF THE DEVIATION	
Description of the impact of the deviation on the social, environmental and sustainable development impacts form the project:	>> <i>Provide description of the impact of the deviation on social, environmental and sustainable development impacts from the project.</i>

SECTION 2. ASSESSMENT OF THE DEVIATION	
Assessment of the deviation:	<p>Provide assessment whether all unavoidable negative impacts for each of the 11 elements of the A6.4 SD Tool, their implications and the monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators are identified and described following the requirements of the A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to avoid the negative impacts identified is provided. If complete avoidance is not possible, justifications for why avoidance is not technically and financially feasible are provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether of evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to minimize, mitigate and control the unavoidable negative impacts is provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool. If unavoidable negative impacts persisted, justifications for why further measures and actions are not technically and financially feasible as well as evidence of the remediation measures undertaken or to be undertaken are provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence that the unavoidable impacts comply with the 11th preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, as well as relevant and applicable national and international instruments to which the host Party is bound and applicable legislation in the host Party is provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the activity participants have duly informed local stakeholders and have duly invited them to provide comments in accordance with the requirements of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the comments received have been appropriately addressed in accordance with the provisions of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
DOE assessment:	<p>>></p> <p>Provide a clear and precise assessment of the case, based on evidence provided by the activity participant as per the activity standard, including input from local stakeholders if any.</p>

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	1 April 2026	Revision to align with the provisions of the “Standard: Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for programmes of activities” (version 03.0) (A6.4-STAN-AC-005) and respective methodological documents.
01.0	9 January 2025	Initial publication of form template.

Decision Class: Regulatory
Document Type: Form
Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle
Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, component project, project implementation, renewal of crediting period, validation report
