



VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR INCLUSION OF ARTICLE 6.4 COMPONENT PROJECTS

(Version 02.0)

DETAILS OF THE COMPONENT PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT

UNFCCC reference number of the CP:	>>		
Component project (CP) title:	>>		
Version number of the CP-DD to which this validation report applies:	>>		
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines and versions:	Reference Number	Title	Version
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
Sectoral scope(s):	>>		
UNFCCC reference number of the PoA:	>>		
Programme of activities (PoA) title:	>>		
Version number of the PoA-DD to which this validation report applies:	>>		
Host Party:	Choose a Party.		
Estimated annual emission reductions and/or net removals over the crediting period (tCO₂e/year):	Emission reductions:	>>	
	Net removals:	>>	
	Total emission reductions and net removals	>>	
Check on maximum annual emission reductions and/or net removals approved by the host Parties (tCO₂e) (if applicable): <i>(Add/remove rows as necessary)</i>	Approved by the host Party	>>	
	Included CP name and UNFCCC reference number	Estimated emission reductions and/or net removals	
	>>	>>	
	>>	>>	
	(...)	(...)	
	TOTAL		
DETAILS OF THE VALIDATION REPORT			
	Version number:	>>	

Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Date of completion: Enter a date.	
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	Name:	>> <i>Provide name of the DOE</i>
	Reference number:	>> <i>Provide UNFCCC reference number of the DOE</i>
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	Name:	>> <i>Provide name of the approver</i>
	Position:	>> <i>Provide position of the approver</i>
	Signature:	>> <i>Provide signature of the approver</i>

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Chose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	>>

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk/documents review**

>>

C.2. On-site inspection*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

C.3. Interviews*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Corresponding generic CP	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with the CP-DD form	>>	>>	>>
General description of the proposed CP	>>	>>	>>
Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve	>>	>>	>>
Double or revived registration	>>	>>	>>
Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>

- Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party	>>	>>	>>
- Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool	>>	>>	>>
- Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs	>>	>>	>>
- Identification of the baseline scenario	>>	>>	>>
- Business-as-usual scenario or benchmark	>>	>>	>>
- Demonstration of additionality	>>	>>	>>
- Addressing leakage			
- Estimation of emission reductions and/or net removals			
- Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Data and parameters fixed ex ante	>>	>>	>>
- Ex ante calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Summary of ex-ante estimates of emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Addressing non-permanence for CP involving removals and emission reduction CP with reversal risks	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring Plan			
- Data and parameters to be monitored	>>	>>	>>
- Description of the monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Start date, crediting period type and duration	>>	>>	>>
Deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
Environmental, social impacts and sustainable development impacts	>>	>>	>>
Monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators	>>	>>	>>
Integrity safeguards	>>	>>	>>
Local or subnational stakeholder consultation;	>>	>>	>>
Eligibility criteria for inclusion	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for inclusion

D.1. Compliance with the generic CP-DD

>>

D.2. Compliance with the CP-DD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.3. General description of the proposed CP

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.4. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.5. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.6. Double or revived registration

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7. Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines**D.7.1. Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.2. Application of methodologies

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.3. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.4. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.5. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.6. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

>>

D.7.7. Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.8. Identification of the baseline scenario

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.9. Business-as-usual scenario or benchmark

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.10. Demonstration of additionality

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.11. Addressing leakage

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.12. Estimation of emission reductions and/or net removals**D.7.12.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.12.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.12.3. Ex ante calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.12.4. Summary of ex-ante emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.13. Addressing non-permanence for CPs involving removals and emission reduction CPs with reversal risks

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.14. Monitoring plan**D.7.14.1. Data and parameters to be monitored**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.14.2. Description of the monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.8. Start date, crediting period type and duration

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.9. Deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool (if applicable)

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.10. Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.11. Monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.12. Integrity safeguards

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.13. Local/subnational stakeholder consultation

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.14. Eligibility criteria

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

Table 2. CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

Table 3. FARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form

1. General instructions

1. Compliance of validation with requirements, regulations and standards

- 1.1. When completing this form, comply with the “Article 6.4 mechanism validation and verification standard for programme of activities”¹.
- 1.2. Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its validation conclusions. This information may include, but need not be limited to tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3. List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.

2. Working language:

- 2.1. Complete this form in English.
- 2.2. Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language.

3. Format and lay-out of the form:

- 3.1. Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2. Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.

4. Sections not applicable:

- 4.1. If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.

5. Other instructions:


- 5.1. Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.2. Complete this form removing this Attachment.

6. Acronyms and abbreviations:

- 6.1. The following acronyms and abbreviations are referred to in this form:
 - PoA: Article 6.4 mechanism programme of activities.
 - CP: component projects.
 - PoA-DD: programme of activities design document.
 - CP-DD: component project design document.
 - Activity standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity standard for programme of activities.

¹ The “Rules and Regulations” section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (<https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations>) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activity.

2. Specific instructions

 VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR INCLUSION OF ARTICLE 6.4 COMPONENT PROJECTS (Version 02.0)			
DETAILS OF THE COMPONENT PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT			
UNFCCC reference number of the CP:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC unique reference number received for the proposed CP after publishing the notification of prior consideration.</i>		
CP title:	>> <i>Provide the name of the proposed CP as in the CP-DD.</i>		
Version number of the CP-DD to which this validation report applies:	>> <i>Provide the version of the CP-DD to which this validation report is applied.</i>		
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines and versions:	Reference Number	Title	Version
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
	<i>Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers, titles and versions of all applied methodologies, and where applicable, all applied standardized baselines, using one line per applied methodology or standardized baseline.</i>		
Sectoral scope(s):	>> <i>Provide all sectoral scopes of the proposed project based on the applied methodologies, using one line per sectoral scope.</i>		
UNFCCC reference number of the PoA:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC unique reference number received for the PoA after publishing the notification of prior consideration.</i>		
Title of the PoA:	>> <i>Enter the title and of the PoA to which the proposed CP will be included, as per the PoA-DD.</i>		
Version number of the PoA-DD to which this validation report applies:	>> <i>Enter the version number of the PoA-DD to which this validation report is applied.</i>		
Host Party:	Choose a Party.		
Estimated annual emission reductions and/or net removals over the crediting period (tCO₂e/year):	Emission reductions:	>>	
	Net removals:	>>	
	Total emission reductions and net removals	>>	
		<i>Provide the estimated annual amount of emission reductions or net removals to be achieved by the proposed CP during the crediting period.</i>	
	Approved by the host Party	>>	

Check on maximum annual emission reductions and/or net removals approved by the host Parties (tCO_{2e}) (if applicable): <i>(Add/remove rows as necessary)</i>	Included CP name and UNFCCC reference number		Estimated emission reductions and/or net removals	
	>>		>>	
	>>		>>	
	(...)		(...)	
	TOTAL			
<i>Indicate which is the maximum annual amount of emission reductions approved by the host Party, list all CPs included in the host Party, the estimated emission reductions or net removals for each included CP and which is the cumulative annual amount of emission reductions for all CPs after inclusion.</i>				
DETAILS OF THE VALIDATION REPORT				
Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Version number: >> <i>Provide the version number of this validation report.</i>			
	Date of completion: Enter a date.			
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	Name:	>> <i>Provide name of the DOE</i>		
	Reference number:	>> <i>Provide UNFCCC reference number of the DOE</i>		
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	Name:	>> <i>Provide the name of the approver</i>		
	Position:	>> <i>Provide the position of the approver</i>		
	Signature:	>> <i>Provide the signature of the approver</i>		

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

Provide a summary of the proposed CP (including the purpose and general description and location), scope of the validation, validation process and its conclusion.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Provide the details of the validation team members.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	>>

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the validation report.

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/documents review

>> Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the validation process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.

C.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

Summarize any on-site inspection performed during the validation in the table.

Describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of validation, if the DOE did not conduct an on-site inspection as a means of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

C.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

Summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table.

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

Follow the instructions below:

1. Sampling used for the validation of inclusion of CPs:
 - Summarize the sampling approach used during the validation (e.g. random sampling).
2. Sampling used for on-site inspection
 - Include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out.

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Corresponding generic CP	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with the CP-DD form	>>	>>	>>

General description of the proposed CP	>>	>>	>>
Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve	>>	>>	>>
Double or revived registration	>>	>>	>>
Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party	>>	>>	>>
- Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool	>>	>>	>>
- Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs	>>	>>	>>
- Identification of the baseline scenario	>>	>>	>>
- Business-as-usual scenario or benchmark	>>	>>	>>
- Demonstration of additionality	>>	>>	>>
- Addressing leakage			
- Estimation of emission reductions and/or net removals			
- Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Data and parameters fixed ex ante	>>	>>	>>
- Ex ante calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Summary of ex ante estimates of emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Addressing non-permanence for CP involving removals and emission reduction CP with reversal risks	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring Plan			
- Data and parameters to be monitored	>>	>>	>>
- Description of the monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Start date, crediting period type and duration	>>	>>	>>
Deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool (if applicable)	>>	>>	>>

Environmental, social impacts and sustainable development impacts	>>	>>	>>
Monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators			
Integrity safeguards	>>	>>	>>
Local or subnational stakeholder consultation;	>>	>>	>>
Eligibility criteria for inclusion	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

Indicate the number of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and outcome from the DOE) in Appendix 4.

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for inclusion

Complete tables to validate the compliance in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:

- (a) Means of validation: describe how the compliance was validated.
- (b) Findings: provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised.
- (c) Conclusion: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

D.1. Compliance with the generic CP-DD

>>

Indicate which is the corresponding generic CP from the PoA-DD that is applicable to the proposed CP, including:

- (a) The titles and reference numbers of the CP that is proposed to be included in the PoA.
- (b) The version number of the CP-DD to be included.
- (c) The host Party of the CP.
- (d) The title and reference number of the corresponding generic CP.
- (e) The version number of the PoA-DD into which the CP is to be included, i.e. the version of the registered PoA-DD or of a revised PoA-DD approved via the post-registration changes (PRC) process.

Explain how it was assessed that the CP complies with the corresponding generic CP-DD in the latest version of the registered PoA-DD, including the eligibility criteria for inclusion of CPs in the registered A6.4 PoA.

D.2. Compliance with the CP-DD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compliance of the CP-DD with the valid version of the CP-DD form was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.3. General description of the proposed CP

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the description of the proposed CP was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, including:

- (a) CP implementers - An entity(ies)/individual(s) responsible for the operation of the CP.*
- (b) Technology/measures to be employed and/or implemented by the CPs and whether they are aligned with the modalities in the corresponding generic CP-DD.*
- (c) The confirmation that the proposed CP has only one host Party and the identification of the host Party.*
- (d) The precise geographic reference or other means of identification.*
- (e) Start date of the proposed CP, and start date, type and duration of the crediting period as approved by the host Party when approving the PoA.*
- (f) Estimated amount of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals during the crediting period for the CP.*
- (g) CP has neither been registered as an A6.4 project nor included in another registered A6.4 PoA.*

Explain and describe the process undertaken to validate the accuracy and description of the CP.

D.4. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain when the notification for inclusion of the CP was submitted to the UNFCCC secretariat and whether the start date of the proposed CP is on or after the start date of the PoA period in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure, the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

D.5. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the type of project envisaged by the proposed CP is within the types of activities indicated by the host Party that it would approve. Refer to the information contained in the form 'Host Party participation requirements for Article 6.4 mechanism' submitted by the host Party to the secretariat and uploaded in the UNFCCC website in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure, the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

D.6. Double or revived registration

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compliance with the requirement relating to double or revived registration contained in the activity standard was assessed based on the publicly available information and/or the information provided by the activity participants.

D.7. Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines**D.7.1. Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Explain how the selection of methodologies, methodological tools and standardized baselines to the proposed CP was assessed in accordance with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.*
- Confirm that the selected versions of methodologies, methodological tools and standardized baselines are valid at the time of submission of the proposed CP for inclusion.*
- Explain how the compatibility of the selection of the methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.*

D.7.2. Application of methodologies

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Follow the instructions below:

1. Application of methodologies

- Explain how the application of the methodologies selected for the proposed CP was assessed in accordance with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD and applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.*

2. Compatibility with host Party requirements

- Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated and is aligned with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD.*

D.7.3. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Follow the instructions below:

1. *Application of methodological tools or other applied methodological regulatory documents*

- Explain how the application of the methodological tools or other applied methodological regulatory documents quoted by the methodologies selected for the proposed CP was assessed in accordance with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD and the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

2. *Compatibility with host Party requirements*

- Explain how the compatibility of the methodological tool or other applied methodological regulatory documents against the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated and is aligned with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD.

D.7.4. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Follow the instructions below:

1. *Application of standardized baselines*

- Explain how the application of the standardized baselines selected for the proposed CP was assessed in accordance with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD and the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

2. *Compatibility with host Party requirements*

- Explain how the compatibility of the application of the standardized baselines with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated and is aligned with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD.

D.7.5. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Follow the instructions below:

1. *Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party*

- Explain how the application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party was assessed in accordance with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD and the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard and against the selected methodologies and/or selected standardized baselines.

2. *Compatibility with other host Party requirements*

- Explain how the compatibility with other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, if applicable, was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard and is aligned with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD.

D.7.6. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

>>

Follow the instructions below:

1. Application of clarification or deviation

- Indicate whether a clarification was sought or a request for revision was requested for the selected methodology, methodological tool or any other applied methodological regulatory document prior to the inclusion of the CP in the PoA, including the date of approval of such request;

2. Assessment of deviation

- Assess whether the deviation does not require revision of the selected methodology or methodological tool;
- Include a description of the impact of the deviation on GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by the CP.

D.7.7. Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how sources and GHGs included in the CP's boundary for the purpose of calculating project emissions and baseline emissions was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard, activity standard, the applied methodologies and other methodological regulatory documents and is aligned with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD.

D.7.8. Identification of the baseline scenario

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the baseline scenario identified for the CPs was assessed in alignment with the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, activity standard, the applied methodologies, standardized baseline and other methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.9. Business-as-usual scenario or benchmark

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the business-as-usual scenario or reference benchmark emissions identified and described for the CP was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the activity standard, the applied methodologies, standardized baseline and other methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.10. Demonstration of additionality

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the demonstration of additionality of the proposed CP was assessed in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the applied methodological tools for demonstration of additionality and with the eligibility criteria for inclusion of CPs in the PoA in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.7.11. Addressing leakage

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how leakage was assessed in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the applied methodological tools and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.7.12. Estimation of emission reductions and/or net removals**D.7.12.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals for CPs were assessed against the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.12.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how each of the ex ante parameters applied for the GHG calculations were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements of the applied methodologies, standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents to calculate baseline emissions/removals, project emissions/removals and leakage as well as GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.7.12.3. Ex-ante calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the data and parameters fixed ex ante that are used in the equations to calculate emission reductions or net removals for the CPs were assessed against the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD and are in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, the activity standard, the standard for sampling and surveys for Article 6.4 mechanism activities to be developed by the Supervisory Body.

D.7.12.4. Summary of ex-ante emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the ex-ante estimates of baseline, project and leakage emissions as well as emission reductions and/or net removals were validated against the modalities contained in the generic CP-DD, including whether the estimates can be replicated, in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.7.13. Addressing non-permanence for CPs involving removals and emission reduction CPs with reversal risks

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the risk of non-permanence of emission reductions or net removals and the measures to address reversals were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the activity standard and validation and verification standard and Standard "Requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism" and other applied methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.14. Monitoring plan**D.7.14.1. Data and parameters to be monitored**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the data and parameters to be monitored or estimated on implementation that are used in the equations to calculate the emission reductions and/or net removals for the CP were assessed against the modalities for developing the monitoring plan contained in the generic CP-DD and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the the validation and verification standard and the activity standard, the applied methodologies, standardized baselines and where applicable, the standard for sampling and surveys for Article 6.4 mechanism activities to be developed by the Supervisory Body.

D.7.14.2. Description of the monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the description of the monitoring plan for the CP were assessed against the modalities for developing the monitoring plan contained in the generic CP-DD and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

Confirm and document that the submission of the monitoring plan is delayed if the activity participants chose to delay the submission of the monitoring plan for the proposed CP.

D.8. Start date, crediting period type and duration

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Determine whether the activity participants specified the start date of the proposed CP, the expected operational lifetime, the type and duration of the crediting period and the start date of the crediting period in accordance with the specifications by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs, if applicable, and with the applicable requirements of the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

D.9. Deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool (if applicable)

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compliance with the requirements relating to submission and approval of a deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool as per activity cycle procedure for projects and activity standard was demonstrated and assessed.

D.10. Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State how the assessment of the environmental and social impacts and sustainable development impacts was conducted as per the requirements of the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool and validation and verification standard.

D.11. Monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State how it was assessed that the monitoring of the activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators is in compliance with the requirements of the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool and validation and verification standard.

D.12. Integrity safeguards

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the activity participants prepared a declaration on the development, implementation or operation of the proposed CP does not involve any illegal activities, including money laundering, tax evasion, fraud, bribery and criminal activities.

D.13. Local/subnational stakeholder consultation

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Assess whether the local/subnational stakeholder consultation was conducted as per the requirements of the activity standard.

D.14. Eligibility criteria

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how it has assessed assess whether the proposed CP complies with the eligibility criteria for inclusion of CPs defined in the generic CP.

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

Provide information on quality control within the team and in the validation process.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Provide a validation opinion that includes:

- (a) A summary of the validation method and the process used and the validation criteria applied.*
- (b) A summary of the validation conclusions.*
- (c) A statement on the validation of the estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals.*
- (d) A statement on whether the proposed CP meets all applicable Article 6.4 mechanism rules and requirements, including reasons.*

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

Use the table above to list all the abbreviations used in this report.

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewers.

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

Use the table above to list all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:

- Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable.
- Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s).
- References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication and URL.
- Provider: choose one of the following options to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review. Select 'Others' for documents that were provided by those other than the project participants.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>	Requirements:	>>
		Date:	Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>	Evidences provided:	>>
		Date:	Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>	Date:	Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CL corresponds.

Table 2. CARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed.

- (a) Responses from activity participants;
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CL corresponds.

Table 3. FARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each FAR. In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each FAR corresponds.

Document information

Version	Date	Description
02.0	1 April 2026	Revision to align with the provisions of the “Standard: Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for programmes of activities” (version 03.0) (A6.4-STAN-AC-005) and respective methodological documents.
01.0	9 January 2025	Initial publication of form template.

Decision Class: Regulatory
 Document Type: Form
 Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle
 Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, component project, project implementation, validation report