



**VALIDATION REPORT FORM
FOR POST-REGISTRATION CHANGES OF ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS
UNDER THE PRIOR APPROVAL TRACK**

(Version 02.0)

BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT (PDD)

UNFCCC project reference number:	>>		
Project title:	>>		
Type(s) of post-registration changes:	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents¹, including the A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes: <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme		
PDD version number to which this validation report applies:	>>		
Participating Parties and authorized activity participant(s):	Type of Party	Party(ies) that provided authorization	Activity participant
	Choose a type of Party	Choose a Party	>>
	Choose a type of Party	Choose a Party	>>
	Choose a type of Party	Choose a Party	>>
Applied mechanism methodologies and standardised baselines, and their versions:	Reference number	Title	Version
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
Sectoral scopes:	>>		
Estimated annual emission reductions and/or net removals (tCO₂e) (if applicable):		Before the post-registration change	After the post-registration change
	Emission reductions:	>>	>>
	Net removals:	>>	>>

¹ "Any other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the selected(applied) methodologies" are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents.

	Total emission reductions and net removals	>>	>>
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE VALIDATION REPORT			
Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Version number: >> Date of completion: Enter a date.		
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	Name:	>>	
	Reference number:	>>	
Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification and certification report:	Name:	>>	
	Position:	>>	
	Signature:	>>	

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

No.	Role	Type of resource ²	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

No.	Role	Type of resource ²	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>> Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/documents review

>>

² Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

C.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. CL	No. CAR	No. FAR
Compliance with the PDD form	>>	>>	>>
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Corrections	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Inclusion of monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Changes to the start date of the crediting period	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 ST Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Changes to the project design	>>	>>	>>
Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme	>>	>>	>>
Others (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for post-registration changes

D.1. Compliance with PDD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.3. Permanent changes

D.3.1. Corrections

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.3.2. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.3.3. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.3.4. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.3.5. Changes to project design

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.4. Avoidance of double issuance and double counting due to Registration under, coverage or overlap with other crediting scheme, mandatory domestic mitigation scheme and frameworks or environmental markets

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	Choose an item.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>	Date:	Enter a date

Table 2. CARs from this verification

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>	Date:	Enter a date

Table 3. FARs from this verification

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date

APPENDIX 5 – Assessment of the deviation from elements and criteria of the SD tool or its forms when it results in unavoidable negative impacts

SECTION 1. IMPACT OF THE DEVIATION	
Description of the impact of the deviation on the social, environmental and sustainable development impacts form the project:	>> <i>Provide description of the impact of the deviation on social, environmental and sustainable development impacts from the project.</i>

SECTION 2. ASSESSMENT OF THE DEVIATION	
Assessment of the deviation:	<p>Provide assessment whether all unavoidable negative impacts for each of the 11 elements of the A6.4 SD Tool, their implications and the monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators are identified and described following the requirements of the A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to avoid the negative impacts identified is provided. If complete avoidance is not possible, justifications for why avoidance is not technically and financially feasible are provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether of evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to minimize, mitigate and control the unavoidable negative impacts is provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool. If unavoidable negative impacts persisted, justifications for why further measures and actions are not technically and financially feasible as well as evidence of the remediation measures undertaken or to be undertaken are provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence that the unavoidable impacts comply with the 11th preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, as well as relevant and applicable national and international instruments to which the host Party is bound and applicable legislation in the host Party is provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the activity participants have duly informed local stakeholders and have duly invited them to provide comments in accordance with the requirements of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the comments received have been appropriately addressed in accordance with the provisions of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
DOE assessment:	<p>>></p> <p>Provide a clear and precise assessment of the case, based on evidence provided by the activity participant as per the activity standard, including input from local stakeholders if any.</p>

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form

1. General instructions

1. Compliance of validation report with requirements

- 1.1. When completing this form, comply with the “Article 6.4 mechanism validation and verification standard for projects”.¹
- 1.2. Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its verification conclusions. This information may include, but not limited to tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3. List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.

2. Working language

- 2.1. Complete this form in English;
- 2.2. Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language;

3. Format and lay-out of the form

- 3.1. Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2. Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.

4. Sections not applicable

- 4.1. If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.

5. Other instructions


- 5.1. Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.2. Complete this form removing this Attachment.

6. Acronyms and abbreviations

- 6.1. The following acronyms and abbreviations are referred to in this form:
 - (a) PDD: project design document;
 - (b) Activity standard: Article 6.4 activity standard for projects;
 - (c) Validation and verification standard: Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects.

¹ The “Rules and Regulations” section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (<https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations>) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activity.

2. Specific instructions

 VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR POST-REGISTRATION CHANGES OF ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS UNDER THE PRIOR APPROVAL TRACK (Version 02.0)			
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT (PDD)			
UNFCCC project reference number:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC unique reference number for the project received after publishing the notification of prior consideration.</i>		
Project title:	>> <i>Provide the title of the project.</i>		
Type(s) of post-registration changes:	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents¹, including the A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes: <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme <i>Check the type of post-registration change being requested. More than one option can be selected.</i>		
PDD version number to which this validation report applies:	>> <i>Provide the version number of the PDD to which this validation report is applied</i>		
Participating Parties and authorized activity participant(s):	Type of Party	Party(ies) that provided authorization	Activity participant
	Choose a type of Party	Choose a Party	>>
	Choose a type of Party	Choose a Party	>>
	Choose a type of Party	Choose a Party	>>
<i>Provide the name of each activity participant that is authorized by the participating Parties and indicate the type of the Party (i.e. 'Host Party' or 'Other participating Party').</i>			
Applied methodologies and standardised baselines and versions:	Reference number	Title	Version
	<i>Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers of the applied mechanism</i>	<i>Provide the UNFCCC titles of the applied mechanism</i>	<i>Provide the UNFCCC versions of the applied mechanism</i>

¹ "Any other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the selected(applied) methodologies" are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents.

	<i>methodologies, and where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i>	<i>methodologies, and where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i>	<i>methodologies, and where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i>
Sectoral scopes:	>> <i>Provide all sectoral scopes of the proposed project based on the applied methodologies, using one line per sectoral scope.</i>		
Estimated annual emission reductions or net removals (tCO₂e) (if applicable): <i>If there was a post-registration change that has been approved by the Supervisory Body after the previous monitoring period or will be submitted together with the request for issuance that this verification and certification report concerns, indicate the average annual emission reductions or net removals determined before and after the post-registration change. Otherwise, indicate N/A.</i>	Emission reductions:	<i>Provide the amount of emission reductions achieved by the project in this monitoring period.</i>	
	Net removals:	<i>Provide the amount of net removals achieved by the project in this monitoring period.</i>	
	Total emission reductions and net removals:	<i>Provide the amount of emission reductions and net removals achieved by the project in this monitoring period.</i>	
DETAILS OF THE VALIDATION REPORT			
Version number and date of completion of the verification and certification report:	Version number:	>> <i>Enter the version number of this verification and certification report</i>	
	Date of completion:	Enter a date.	
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	Name:	>> <i>Provide the name of the verifying and certifying DOE.</i>	
	Reference number:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC reference number of the verifying and certifying DOE.</i>	
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	Name:	>> <i>Provide the name of the approver of the verification and certification report in the DOE.</i>	
	Position:	>> <i>Provide the position of the approver of the verification and certification report in the DOE.</i>	
	Signature:	>> <i>Provide the digital signature of the approver of the verification and certification report in the DOE.</i>	

SECTION A. Executive summary

>> Provide a summary of the project (including the purpose and general description and location), scope of the validation, validation process and its conclusion.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

No.	Role	Type of resource ²	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Provide the details of the validation team members. Copy/paste rows as necessary.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

No.	Role	Type of resource ²	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>> Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>

² Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the validation report. Copy/paste rows as necessary.

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/documents review

>>

Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the validation process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.

C.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

- Summarize any on-site inspection performed during the validation in the table;
- If the DOE have not conducted an on-site inspection, describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard;
- Copy/paste rows as necessary.

C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

- Summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table;
- Copy/paste rows as necessary.

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

- Where a sampling approach is used for the validation, summarize the sampling approach used during the validation (e.g. random sampling);
- Where a sampling approach is used for the on-site inspection, include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out.

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. CL	No. CAR	No. FAR
Compliance with the PDD form	>>	>>	>>
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Corrections	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Inclusion of monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Changes to the start date of the crediting period	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
Permanent changes – Changes to the project design	>>	>>	>>
Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme	>>	>>	>>
Others (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

Indicate the number of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and outcome from the DOE) in Appendix 4.

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for post-registration changes

Complete the tables in this section to validate the compliance in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:

- Means of validation: describe how the compliance was validated;
- Findings: provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 the details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised;
- Conclusion: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

D.1. Compliance with PDD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Confirm the compliance of the revised PDD (both in tracked-change and clean versions) with the valid version of the applicable PDD form and the instructions therein for filling out the PDD form;

- *If a later version of the PDD form is used for preparing the revised PDD than the version used for the registered PDD, confirm whether the information transferred to the later version of the form is materially the same as that in the registered PDD.*

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- *Explain how the temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents in the validation and verification standard;*
- *Indicate the period for which the temporary deviation from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents is applicable.*
- *If the monitoring of environmental, social and sustainable development parameters results in unavoidable temporary negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting additional consultation with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, provide the related assessment as per appendix 5.*

D.3. Permanent changes

D.3.1. Corrections

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the corrections to the registered PDD were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the validation and verification standard.

D.3.2. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the inclusion of monitoring plan to the registered project activity was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the inclusion of monitoring plan in the validation and verification standard.

D.3.2.1. Data and parameters to be monitored

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the data and parameters to be monitored or estimated upon implementation of the proposed project that are used in the equations to calculate GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the the validation and verification standard and the activity standard, and where applicable, the standard for sampling and surveys for Article 6.4 mechanism activities to be developed by the Supervisory Body.

D.3.2.2. Description of the monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the description of the monitoring plan for the proposed project were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.3.3. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the changes to the start date of the crediting period are within the allowable limits specified in the activity standard and how it was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the start date of the crediting period in the validation and verification standard.

D.3.4. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents including A6.4 SD Tool, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents in the validation and verification standard.

If the monitoring of environmental, social and sustainable development parameters results in unavoidable permanent negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting

additional consultation with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, provide the related assessment as per appendix 5.

D.3.5. Changes to project design

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the changes to the project design of a registered project activity were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design in the validation and verification standard.

For projects that demonstrate additionality through investment analysis for which the PDD was submitted for validation prior to the start date of the project, and for which the updated investment analysis is submitted as a post-registration change, determine whether the investment analysis has been updated based on the data and information for the investment analysis available at the start date of the project in accordance with the relevant provisions of the activity standard and other applied methodological regulatory documents.

If the proposed or actual change affects the additionality of the registered A6.4 project, confirm that the project is still additional after the change.

If the permanent changes to the design of the project result in unavoidable permanent negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting additional consultation with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, provide the related assessment as per appendix 5.

D.4. Avoidance of double issuance and double counting due to registration under, coverage or overlap with other crediting scheme, mandatory domestic mitigation scheme and frameworks or environmental markets

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compliance with the requirements relating to registration under or coverage by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, or subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme or environmental frameworks contained in the activity standard were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design in the validation and verification standard.

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

Provide information on quality control within the team and in the validation process;

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Provide a validation opinion that includes:

- (i) A summary of the validation method and the process used and the validation criteria applied;*
- (ii) A summary of the validation conclusions;*
- (iii) A statement on the validation of the estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals;*
- (iv) A statement on whether the proposed A6.4 project meets all applicable Article 6.4 mechanism rules and requirements, including reasons.*

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

- Use the table above to list all the abbreviations used in this report.
- Add rows as necessary.

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewers.

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	Choose an item.

- Use the table above to list all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:
 - Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable;
 - Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s);
 - References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication, version of the document (if available) and URL;
 - Provider: choose 'Activity participants' or 'Others' to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review.
- Copy/paste rows as necessary.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>> Indicate the section number of the validation report to which each CL corresponds
Description of the issue:	>> Provide a detailed description of the issue raised.		
	Requirements:	>> Indicate against which paragraph of the regulatory	Date: Enter a date

		<i>document the issue was raised.</i>		
Responses from activity participants:	>> <i>Include the response provided by the activity participants</i>			
	Evidences provided:	>> <i>Indicate which evidences were provided by activity participants to support the response</i>	Date:	Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>> <i>Provide a detailed assessment of the response and indicate whether the response is sufficient to close the CL, otherwise provide the rationale for not accepting the responses and/or evidences.</i>			
			Date:	Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each new CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from activity participants;*
- (b) DOE assessment.*

Table 2. CARs from this verification

CAR ID:	>>		Section number:	>> <i>Indicate the section number of the validation report to which each CAR corresponds</i>
Description of the issue:	>> <i>Provide a detailed description of the issue raised.</i>			
	Requirements:	>> <i>Indicate against which paragraph of the regulatory document the issue was raised.</i>	Date:	Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>> <i>Include the response provided by the activity participants</i>			
	Evidences provided:	>> <i>Indicate which evidences were provided by activity participants to support the response</i>	Date:	Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>> <i>Provide a detailed assessment of the response and indicate whether the response is sufficient to close the CAR, otherwise provide the rationale for not accepting the responses and/or evidences.</i>			
			Date:	Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each new CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed.

- (a) Responses from activity participants;*
- (b) DOE assessment.*

Table 3. FARs from this verification

FAR ID:	>>		Section number:	>> <i>Indicate the section number of the validation report to which each FAR corresponds</i>
Description of the issue:	>> <i>Provide a detailed description of the issue raised.</i>			
Requirements:	>> <i>Indicate against which paragraph of the regulatory document the issue was raised.</i>	Date:	Enter a date	

If needed, copy the table above for each new FAR.

APPENDIX 5 – Assessment of the deviation from elements and criteria of the SD tool or its forms when it results in unavoidable negative impacts

SECTION 1. IMPACT OF THE DEVIATION	
Description of the impact of the deviation on the social, environmental and sustainable development impacts from the project:	>> <i>Provide description of the impact of the deviation on social, environmental and sustainable development impacts from the project.</i>

SECTION 2. ASSESSMENT OF THE DEVIATION	
Assessment of the deviation:	<p>Provide assessment whether all unavoidable negative impacts for each of the 11 elements of the A6.4 SD Tool, their implications and the monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators are identified and described following the requirements of the A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to avoid the negative impacts identified is provided. If complete avoidance is not possible, justifications for why avoidance is not technically and financially feasible are provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether of evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to minimize, mitigate and control the unavoidable negative impacts is provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool. If unavoidable negative impacts persisted, justifications for why further measures and actions are not technically and financially feasible as well as evidence of the remediation measures undertaken or to be undertaken are provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence that the unavoidable impacts comply with the 11th preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, as well as relevant and applicable national and international instruments to which the host Party is bound and applicable legislation in the host Party is provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the activity participants have duly informed local stakeholders and have duly invited them to provide comments in accordance with the requirements of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the comments received have been appropriately addressed in accordance with the provisions of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
DOE assessment:	<p>>></p> <p>Provide a clear and precise assessment of the case, based on evidence provided by the activity participant as per the activity standard, including input from local stakeholders if any.</p>

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	1 April 2026	Revision to align with the provisions of the “Standard: Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects” (version 03.0) (A6.4-STAN-AC-003) and respective methodological documents.
01.0	11 December 2024	Initial publication of form template.

Decision Class: Regulatory
Document Type: Form
Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle
Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, A6.4 projects, post-registration change, project implementation, validation report
