



VERIFICATION AND CERTIFICATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS

(Version 02.0)

BASIC INFORMATION OF THE MONITORING REPORT			
UNFCCC reference number:	>>		
Project title:	>>		
Monitoring period:	Start date: Enter a date.	End date: Enter a date.	
	Sequence number:	>>	
Crediting Period:	Start date: Enter a date.	End date: Enter a date.	
	Sequence number:	Choose a crediting period.	
Version number of the PDD to which this verification and certification report applies:	>>		
Activity participant(s): (add rows if needed)	Type of Party	Party that is to provide authorization	Activity Participant
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	
Applied mechanism methodologies and standardised baselines, and their versions:	Reference number	Title	Version
Sectoral scope(s):	>>		
Post-registration changes:	<input type="checkbox"/> This request for issuance <u>does not</u> include post-registration changes <input type="checkbox"/> This request for issuance includes the following post-registration changes: <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents¹, including A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes:		

¹ "Any other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the selected(applied) methodologies" are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents.

	<input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Registration under, coverage or overlap with other crediting scheme, mandatory domestic mitigation scheme and frameworks or environmental markets		
Emission reductions and/or net removals achieved by the project in this monitoring period (tCO ₂ e):	Emission reductions:	>>	
	Net removals:	>>	
	Total emission reductions and net removals	>>	
Estimated annual emission reductions and/or net removals (tCO ₂ e) (if applicable):		Before the post-registration change	After the post-registration change
	Emission reductions:	>>	>>
	Net removals:	>>	>>
	Total emission reductions and net removals	>>	>>
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE VERIFICATION AND CERTIFICATION REPORT			
Version number and date of completion of the verification and certification report:	Version number:		
	Date of completion:	Enter a date.	
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	Name:	>>	
	Reference number:	>>	
Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification and certification report:	Name:	>>	
	Position:	>>	
	Signature:	>>	

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Verification team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ²	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Verification findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification and certification report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ²	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>

² Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION C. Application of materiality

C.1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

No.	Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements	Assessment of the risk		Response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan
		Risk level	Justification	
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

C.2. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

>>

SECTION D. Means of verification

D.1. Desk/documents review

>>

D.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

D.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

D.4. Sampling approach

>>

D.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of verification findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications	>>	>>	>>
Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document	>>	>>	>>
Post-registration change (if applicable)			
- Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Corrections	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Inclusion of monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Changes to the start date of the crediting period	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Changes to the project design	>>	>>	>>
- Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme	>>	>>	>>
Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodologies including applicable tools and standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan			
- Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period	>>	>>	>>
- Data and parameters monitored	>>	>>	>>
- Implementation of sampling plan	>>	>>	>>
Monitoring and addressing of reversals	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with monitoring and reversal related actions for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments	>>	>>	>>

Area of verification findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Calculation of baseline emissions and/or baseline removals	>>	>>	>>
- Project emissions and/or removals	>>	>>	>>
- Leakage emissions	>>	>>	>>
- Summary calculation emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Comparison of actual emission reductions and/or net removals with estimates in registered PDD	>>	>>	>>
- Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered PDD	>>	>>	>>
Environmental impacts, social impacts and SD impacts	>>	>>	>>
Continuous engagement with stakeholders	>>	>>	>>
Avoidance of double issuance and double counting	>>	>>	>>
Others (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

SECTION E. Verification of compliance with specific requirements

E.1. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications

(Copy/paste tables for each FAR left open from the validation or from the previous verification)

FAR ID:	>>		
FAR raised at:	<input type="checkbox"/> Validation for registration <input type="checkbox"/> Validation for renewal of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Previous verification		
DOE that raised the FAR:	>>		
	Validation or verification report version number and date:	Date:	Enter a date
		Version:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidence provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
		Date:	Enter a date

E.2. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.3. Post-registration changes

E.3.1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <i>(Add rows as needed)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.3.2. Permanent changes – corrections

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <i>(Add rows as needed)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.3.3. Permanent changes – inclusion of a monitoring plan

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring plan was included after the registration of the project but approved by the Supervisory Body prior to this verification: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.3.4. Permanent changes – changes to the start date of the crediting period

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> There were changes after the registration <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Host Party Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY <input type="checkbox"/> There was no change after the registration
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.3.5. Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, A6.4 SD Tool Forms or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> There were changes after the registration <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <i>(Add rows as needed)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body <input type="checkbox"/> There was no change after the registration
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.3.6. Permanent changes – changes to project design

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> There were changes after the registration <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <i>(Add rows as needed)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Host Party (if applicable) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY <input type="checkbox"/> There was no change after the registration
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.3.7. Avoidance of double issuance and double counting due to registration under, coverage or overlap with other crediting scheme, mandatory domestic mitigation scheme and frameworks or environmental markets

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <i>(Add rows as needed)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.4. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodologies including applicable tools and standardized baselines

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.5. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

E.5.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.5.2. Data and parameters monitored

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.5.3. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.6. Compliance with monitoring and reversal related actions for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.7. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions and/or net GHG removals

E.8.1. Calculation of baseline GHG emissions and/or baseline net GHG removals

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.2. Project GHG emissions and/or actual net GHG removals

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.3. Leakage GHG emissions

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.4. Summary calculation of GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.5. Comparison of actual GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals with estimates in registered PDD

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.6. Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered PDD

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.9. Environmental impacts, social impacts and SD impacts

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.10. Continuous engagement with stakeholders

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.11. Avoidance of double issuance and double counting

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

SECTION F. Internal quality control

>>

SECTION G. Verification opinion

>>

SECTION H. Certification statement

>>

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>	Date:	Enter a date

Table 2. CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>	Date:	Enter a date

Table 3. FARs from this verification
(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Requirements:

APPENDIX 5 – Assessment of the deviation from elements and criteria of the SD tool or its forms when it results in unavoidable negative impacts

SECTION 1. IMPACT OF THE DEVIATION	
Description of the impact of the deviation on the social, environmental and sustainable development impacts form the project:	>> <i>Provide description of the impact of the deviation on social, environmental and sustainable development impacts from the proposed project.</i>

SECTION 2. ASSESSMENT OF THE DEVIATION	
Assessment of the deviation:	<p>Provide assessment whether all unavoidable negative impacts for each of the 11 elements of the A6.4 SD Tool, their implications and the monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators are identified and described following the requirements of the A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to avoid the negative impacts identified is provided. If complete avoidance is not possible, justifications for why avoidance is not technically and financially feasible are provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether of evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to minimize, mitigate and control the unavoidable negative impacts is provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool. If unavoidable negative impacts persisted, justifications for why further measures and actions are not technically and financially feasible as well as evidence of the remediation measures undertaken or to be undertaken are provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence that the unavoidable impacts comply with the 11th preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, as well as relevant and applicable national and international instruments to which the host Party is bound and applicable legislation in the host Party is provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the activity participants have duly informed local stakeholders and have duly invited them to provide comments in accordance with the requirements of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the comments received have been appropriately addressed in accordance with the provisions of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>DOE assessment:</p> <p>>> Provide a clear and precise assessment of the case, based on evidence provided by the activity participant as per the activity standard, including input from local stakeholders if any.</p>

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form

1. General instructions

1. Compliance of the verification and certification report with requirements

- 1.1 When completing this form, comply with the “Article 6.4 mechanism validation and verification standard for projects”.¹
- 1.2 Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its verification conclusions. This information may include, but not limited to, tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3 List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.

2. Working language

- 2.1 Complete this form in English;
- 2.2 Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language;

3. Format and lay-out of the form

- 3.1 Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2 Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.

4. Sections not applicable

- 4.1 If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.

5. Other instructions


- 5.1 Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.2 Complete this form removing this Attachment.

6. Acronyms and abbreviations

- 6.1 The following acronyms and abbreviations are referred to in this form:
 - (a) PDD: project design document;
 - (b) Activity standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity standard for projects;
 - (c) Validation and verification standard: Article 6.4 mechanism validation and verification standard for projects.

¹ The “Rules and Regulations” section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (<https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations>) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activities.

2. Specific instructions

 VERIFICATION AND CERTIFICATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS (Version 0220)			
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE MONITORING REPORT			
UNFCCC reference number:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC unique reference number for the project received after publishing the notification of prior consideration.</i>		
Project title:	>> <i>Provide the title of the project as in the PDD.</i>		
UNFCCC reference number:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC unique reference number for the project received after publishing the notification of prior consideration.</i>		
Monitoring period:	<p>Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter a date. <i>Provide the start and end-dates of the monitoring period that this verification and certification report covers.</i></p> <p>Sequence number: >> <i>Provide the sequence number of the monitoring period within the crediting period (e.g. 01 if it is the 1st monitoring period, 02 if it is the 2nd monitoring period, etc.)</i></p>		
Crediting Period:	<p>Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter a date. <i>Provide the start and end-dates of the crediting period that the monitoring period covered by this verification and certification report is in.</i></p> <p>Sequence number: Choose a crediting period.</p>		
Version number of the PDD to which this verification and certification report applies:	>> <i>Provide the version number of the PDD to which this verification and certification report is applied.</i>		
Participating Parties and authorized activity participant(s):	Type of Party	Party that provided authorization	Activity Participant
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
<i>Provide the name of each activity participant that is authorized by the host Party or other participating Party and indicate the type of the Party (i.e. 'Host Party' or 'Other participating Party').</i>			
Applied mechanism methodologies and standardised baselines, and their versions:	Reference Number	Title	Version
	<i>Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers of the</i>	<i>Provide the UNFCCC titles of the applied mechanism</i>	<i>Provide the UNFCCC versions of the applied mechanism methodologies, and</i>

<p><i>(Add rows per each mechanism methodology/ standardized baseline)</i></p>	<p><i>applied mechanism methodologies, and where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i></p>	<p><i>methodologies, and where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i></p>	<p><i>where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i></p>
<p>Sectoral scopes:</p>	<p>>> <i>Provide all sectoral scopes of the project based on the applied methodologies, using one line per sectoral scope.</i></p>		
<p>Post-registration changes:</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> This verification <u>does not</u> include validation of post-registration changes <i>Check this option if there is no post-registration change that is validated in conjunction with this verification with a view to submitting the post-registration change under the “issuance track” in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This verification includes the following post-registration changes to be validated in conjunction with the verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents¹, including A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the start date of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Inclusion of a monitoring plan <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Registration under, coverage or overlap with other crediting scheme, mandatory domestic mitigation scheme and frameworks or environmental markets <p><i>Check one or more of the options above, as applicable, if there was a post-registration change that was validated in conjunction with this verification with a view to submitting the</i></p>		

¹ “Any other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the selected(applied) methodologies” are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents.

	<i>post-registration change under the “issuance track” in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.</i>		
Emission reductions and/or net removals achieved by the project in this monitoring period (tCO₂e):	Emission reductions:	<i>Provide the amount of emission reductions achieved by the project in this monitoring period.</i>	
	Net removals:	<i>Provide the amount of net removals achieved by the project in this monitoring period.</i>	
	Total emission reductions and net removals:	<i>Provide the amount of emission reductions and net removals achieved by the project in this monitoring period.</i>	
Emission reductions and/or net removals achieved by the project in this monitoring period (tCO₂e):	>> <i>Provide the emission reductions and/or net removals achieved by the project in the monitoring period.</i> <i>Emission reductions:</i> <i>Net removals:</i>		
Estimated annual emission reductions or net removals (tCO₂e) (if applicable): <i>If there was a post-registration change that has been approved by the Supervisory Body after the previous monitoring period or will be submitted together with the request for issuance that this verification and certification report concerns, indicate the average annual emission reductions or net removals determined before and after the post-registration change. Otherwise, indicate N/A.</i>		Before the post-registration change	After the post-registration change
	Emission reductions:	>>	>>
	Net removals:	>>	>>
	Total emission reductions and net removals:	>>	>>
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE VERIFICATION AND CERTIFICATION REPORT			
Version number and date of completion of the verification and certification report:	Version number:	>> <i>Enter the version number of this verification and certification report</i>	
	Date of completion:	Enter a date.	
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	Name:	>> <i>Provide the name of the verifying and certifying DOE.</i>	
	Reference number:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC reference number of the verifying and certifying DOE.</i>	

Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification and certification report:	Name:	<i>>> Provide the name of the approver of the verification and certification report in the DOE.</i>
	Position:	<i>>> Provide the position of the approver of the verification and certification report in the DOE.</i>
	Signature:	<i>>> Provide the digital signature of the approver of the verification and certification report in the DOE.</i>

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

- Provide a summary of the project (including the purpose and general description and location), the scope of the verification, the verification and certification process and its conclusion.

SECTION B. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Verification team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ²	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Verification findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Provide the details of the verification team members.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification and certification report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ²	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>

² Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the “Standard: Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

- Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the verification and certification report.

SECTION C. Application of materiality

C.1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

No.	Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements	Assessment of the risk		Response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan
		Risk level	Justification	
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

- Describe in the table risks that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements, assessment of the risk and response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan.
- For “Assessment of the risk”, rank the level of each risk with one of the following options and present the justification on the judgement of the risk level considering the elements of the risk such as its likelihood and impacts:
 - (a) High;
 - (b) Medium; or
 - (c) Low.

C.2. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

>>

- Describe how materiality concept was applied in determining whether detected errors, omissions or misstatements were material or immaterial either individually or in aggregate.
- Describe whether and how the verification plan and/or sampling plan were revised to take into account the need for further audit procedures due to the nature/type of the errors, omissions or misstatements detected.

SECTION D. Means of verification

D.1. Desk/document review

>>

- Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the verification process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the verification in Appendix 3 below.

D.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

- List and summarize all on-site inspections performed during the verification in chronological order in the table.

- Describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of verification if the DOE does not conduct an on-site inspection as a means of verification in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

- Summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the verification in the table.

D.4. Sampling approach

>>

- Where a sampling approach is used for the verification, summarize the sampling approach used during the verification (e.g. random sampling).
- Where a sampling approach is used for the on-site inspection, include a description of how the sample size was determined and the field check was carried out.

D.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of verification findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications	>>	>>	>>
Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document	>>	>>	>>
Post-registration change (if applicable)			
- Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Corrections	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Inclusion of monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Changes to the start date of the crediting period	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool	>>	>>	>>

Area of verification findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
- Permanent changes – Changes to the project design	>>	>>	>>
- Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme	>>	>>	>>
Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodologies including applicable tools and standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan			
- Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period	>>	>>	>>
- Data and parameters monitored	>>	>>	>>
- Implementation of sampling plan	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with monitoring and reversal related actions for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments	>>	>>	>>
Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Calculation of baseline emissions and/or baseline removals	>>	>>	>>
- Project emissions and/or actual removals	>>	>>	>>
- Leakage emissions	>>	>>	>>
- Summary calculation emission reductions and/or net removals	>>	>>	>>
- Comparison of actual emission reductions and/or net removals with estimates in registered PDD	>>	>>	>>
- Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered PDD	>>	>>	>>
Environmental impacts, social impacts and SD impacts	>>	>>	>>
Continuous engagement with stakeholders	>>	>>	>>
Avoidance of double issuance and double counting	>>	>>	>>
Others (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

- Indicate the numbers of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and conclusions by the DOE) in Appendix 4.

SECTION E. Verification of compliance with specific requirements

- Complete sub-sections below to verify the compliance with the relevant project requirements in accordance with the applicable verification requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:
 - Means of verification:* describe how the compliance was verified;
 - Findings:* provide a brief description of the findings. Include in appendix 4 details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised;
 - Conclusion:* provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

E.1. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications

(Copy/paste tables for each FAR left open from the validation or from the previous verification)

FAR ID:	>>		
FAR raised at:	<input type="checkbox"/> Validation for registration <input type="checkbox"/> Validation for renewal of the crediting period <input type="checkbox"/> Previous verification		
DOE that raised the FAR:	>> Provide the name of the DOE that raised the CAR		
	Validation or verification report version number and date:	Date:	Enter a date
Description of the issue:	>> Copy and paste the issue raised by the validating or verifying DOE		
	Requirements:	>> Copy and paste the requirements identified by the validating or verifying DOE	
Responses from activity participants:	>> Include the response provided by the activity participants		
	Evidence provided:	>> Indicate which evidence was provided by activity participants to support the response	Date:
DOE assessment:	>> Provide a detailed assessment of the response and indicate whether the response is sufficient to close the FAR, otherwise provide the rationale for not accepting the responses and/or evidence.		
			Date:

- If needed, copy the table above for each FAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:
 - Responses from activity participants;
 - DOE assessment.

E.2. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether the project implementation and operation comply with the registered PDD in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of the project implementation with the registered PDD in the validation and verification standard.

- For projects that demonstrate additionality through investment analysis for which the PDD was submitted for validation prior to the start date of the project, and for which the investment analysis was not updated through the submission of a request for approval of post-registration changes, determine at the first verification whether the investment analysis has been updated based on the data and information for the investment analysis available at the start date of the project in accordance with the relevant provisions of the validation and verification standard, activity standard and other applied methodological regulatory documents.

E.3. Post-registration changes

- Fill the sections below by following the instructions provided if there was a post-registration change during the same crediting period; otherwise, indicate that there is no post-registration change during the same crediting period.

E.3.1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) (<i>Add rows as needed</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether there are any temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents after the registration or the renewal of the crediting period of the project, tick the relevant check box(es) and provide the information on the status and the date of approval by the Supervisory Body, as appropriate;
- For all temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool Forms, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents to be validated in conjunction with this verification, provide a brief summary of the corrections, and determine whether they comply with the relevant requirements in the activity standard and were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the validation and verification standard.
- If the monitoring of environmental, social and sustainable development parameters results in unavoidable temporary negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting additional consultation with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, provide the related assessment as per appendix 5.

E.3.2. Permanent changes – corrections

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) (<i>Add rows as needed</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether there are any corrections to the PDD after the registration or the renewal of the crediting period of the project, tick the relevant check box(es) and provide the information on the status and the date of approval by the Supervisory Body, as appropriate;
- For all corrections to be validated in conjunction with this verification, provide a brief summary of the corrections, and determine whether they comply with the relevant requirements in the activity standard and were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the validation and verification standard.

E.3.3. Permanent changes – inclusion of a monitoring plan

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring plan was included after the registration of the project but approved by the Supervisory Body prior to this verification: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether there was an inclusion of a monitoring plan to the PDD after the registration of the project, tick the relevant check box(es), and provide the information on the status and the date of approval by the Supervisory Body, as appropriate;
- If the inclusion of a monitoring plan has not yet been approved by the Supervisory Body, determine whether the included monitoring plan complies with the relevant requirements in the activity standard and was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the inclusion of a monitoring report in the validation and verification standard.

E.3.4. Permanent changes – changes to the start date of the crediting period

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> There were changes after the registration <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Host Party Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY <input type="checkbox"/> There was no change after the registration
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether there were any changes to the start date of the crediting period after the registration of the project, tick the relevant check box(es), and provide the information on the status and the date of approval by the Supervisory Body and the host Party, as appropriate;
- If the latest change to the start date of the crediting period has not yet been approved by the Supervisory Body, determine whether the change complies with the relevant requirements in the activity standard and were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the start date of the crediting period in the validation and verification standard.

E.3.5. Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, A6.4 SD Tool Forms or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including A6.4 SD Tool

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> There were changes after the registration <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <i>(Add rows as needed)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body <input type="checkbox"/> There was no change after the registration
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether there were any permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan and/or A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool after the registration or the renewal of the crediting period of the project, tick the relevant check box(es), and provide the information on the status and the date of approval by the Supervisory Body, as appropriate;

- For all permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan and/or A6.4 SD Tool Forms, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool to be validated in conjunction with this verification, provide a brief summary of the changes or permanent deviation, and determine whether they comply with the relevant requirements in the activity standard and were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool in the validation and verification standard.
- If the monitoring of environmental, social and sustainable development parameters results in unavoidable permanent negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting additional consultation with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, provide the related assessment as per appendix 5.

E.3.6. Permanent changes – changes to project design

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> There were changes after the registration <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <i>(Add rows as needed)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body <input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Host Party (if applicable) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY <input type="checkbox"/> There was no change after the registration
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether there were any changes to the project design after the registration or the renewal of the crediting period of the project, tick the relevant check box(es), and provide the information on the status and the date of approval by the Supervisory Body and the host Party, as appropriate;
- For all changes to the project design to be validated in conjunction with this verification, provide a brief summary of the changes and determine whether they comply with the relevant requirements in the activity standard and were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to project design in the validation and verification standard.
- For projects that demonstrate additionality through investment analysis for which the PDD was submitted for validation prior to the start date of the project, and for which the updated investment analysis is submitted as a post-registration change, determine whether the investment analysis has been updated based on the data and information for the investment analysis available at the start date of the project in accordance with the relevant provisions of the activity standard and other applied methodological regulatory documents.
- If the proposed or actual change affects the additionality of the registered A6.4 project, confirm that the project is still additional after the change.
- If the permanent changes to the design of the project result in unavoidable permanent negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting additional consultation

with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, provide the related assessment as per appendix 5.

E.3.7. Avoidance of double issuance and double counting due to registration under, coverage or overlap with other crediting scheme, mandatory domestic mitigation scheme and frameworks or environmental markets

Approval status	<input type="checkbox"/> Already approved by the Supervisory Body: Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) Date of approval: DD/MM/YYYY (Ref. number: XXXX) <i>(Add rows as needed)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet approved by the Supervisory Body
Changes to be validated	>>
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether the project, after its registration under the Article 6.4 mechanism, was also registered under, or covered by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, or subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme, frameworks or environmental markets, tick the relevant check box(es), and provide the information on the status and the date of approval by the Supervisory Body, as appropriate.
- If the project was registered under, or covered by a programme, under any other international, regional, national or subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme, frameworks or environmental markets, determine whether the project complies with the relevant requirements in the activity standard and was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the overlapping with other crediting scheme, frameworks or environmental markets in the validation and verification standard.

E.4. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodologies including applicable tools and standardized baselines

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether the monitoring plan complies with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines, and the other applied methodological documents based on the actual implementation of the project, in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of the monitoring plan with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents in the validation and verification standard.

E.5. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

- Determine in the tables E.5.1 to E.5.3 below whether the monitoring activities comply with the registered monitoring plan in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan in the validation and verification standard.
- Where the activity participants applied a sampling approach for the determination of data and parameters monitored, determine in section E.5.3 whether the sampling efforts and surveys comply with the validated sampling plan in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan in the validation and verification standard.

E.5.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.5.2. Data and parameters monitored

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.5.3. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.6. Compliance with monitoring and reversal related actions for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Determine whether the monitoring of reversals complies with the registered monitoring plan and risk mitigation plan and is in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of monitoring activities in the validation and verification standard and the relevant provisions of the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other applied methodological regulatory documents.
- Determine whether all parameters stated in the registered monitoring plan including for demonstrating the compliance with requirements specified in the applied methodologies that shall be assessed at the first verification or at each verification have been monitored and updated as applicable.
- Determine whether the activity participants have monitored and reassessed, in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baseline and other applied methodological regulatory documents, that the ongoing conditions continue to indicate that suppressed demand would persist in the absence of the Article 6.4 activity, using the indicators and thresholds specified by the applied methodologies if it was demonstrated that the project beneficiaries were in suppressed demand conditions at the beginning of the crediting period.
- Determine whether the addressing of reversals, if any, complies with the requirements of the validation and verification standard and respective requirements of the applied methodologies and other applied methodological regulatory documents.
- Determine whether the risks of reversals were addressed, consistent with the risk mitigation measures as per risk mitigation plan and respective requirements of the activity standard, validation and verification standard and applied methodologies and other applied methodological regulatory documents

E.7. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- *For each item of data and parameter monitored as referred to in table E.5.2 above, determine whether the calibration was conducted at the frequency as specified by the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, and applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments in the validation and verification standard.*

E.8. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions and/or net GHG removals

- *In sections E.8.1 to E.8.5 below, explain how the data and calculation of GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals were assessed in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals in the validation and verification standard;*
- *In section E.8.6, if applicable, explain how the cause of any increase in the actual GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals in this monitoring period was assessed in accordance with the applicable verification requirements in the validation and verification standard.*

E.8.1. Calculation of baseline GHG emissions and/or baseline net GHG removals

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.2. Project GHG emissions and/or actual net GHG removals

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.3. Leakage GHG emissions

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.4. Summary calculation of GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.5. Comparison of actual GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals with estimates in registered PDD

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.8.6. Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered PDD

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

E.9. Environmental impacts, social impacts and SD impacts

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- *Determine whether the monitoring of environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts and planned mitigation measures of negative impacts, if any, was conducted in accordance with the monitoring in the PDD, including the Appendix 7 of the PDD (e.g. completed A6.4 Environmental and Social Management Plan Form) and Appendix 8 of the PDD (e.g. completed A6.4 Sustainable Development Impact Form) of these impacts of the project prepared in accordance with the "Article 6.4 sustainable development tool".*
- *Determine whether the results of the monitoring comply with the requirements of the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool and the verification requirements as per the validation and verification standard.*
- *For registered Article 6.4 projects that successfully transitioned from the CDM, if, as per the respective requirements of the "Standard: Transition of CDM activities to the Article 6.4 mechanism", the "Sustainable development co-benefits tool" developed under the CDM was applied, determine whether the monitoring of sustainable development co-benefits was conducted in accordance with provisions of the monitoring plan and "Sustainable development co-benefits tool" based on the document describing how the activity participant monitors sustainable development co-benefits of the activity including the frequency of reporting of monitoring results.*

E.10. Continuous engagement with stakeholders

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- *Determine whether the activity participants have opened a window for continuous engagement with stakeholders and whether comments were received, through the continuous engagement process or through the UNFCCC website, by the activity participants on the implementation or operation of the project after its registration from local stakeholders, Parties, other stakeholders and UNFCCC-admitted observer organizations, and determine whether the activity participants have addressed the issues raised in the comments as appropriate.*

E.11. Avoidance of double issuance and double counting

Means of verification	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- *Determine whether:*
 - The project is registered, or covered by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, or subnational GHG mitigation crediting scheme;*
 - The activity participants have obtained a confirmation from the other crediting scheme that the same GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals being requested for issuance of A6.4ERs have not been or will not be credited under the other crediting scheme if the project is covered by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, or subnational GHG mitigation crediting scheme;*
 - The reported GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals for which request for issuance of A6.4ERs is submitted are either not covered by a mandatory domestic mitigation scheme (e.g. an emissions trading scheme) or, if they are covered by a mandatory domestic mitigation scheme, measures are in place to ensure that any relevant impacts of the activity (e.g. the GHG emission reductions achieved or the kilowatt-hours of renewable electricity produced) are not counted towards the achievement of targets or obligations under the mandatory domestic mitigation scheme (e.g. by cancelling allowances from the emissions trading system before issuing carbon credits), Providing appropriate evidence and justification;*
(Note that where the policy for establishing the mandatory domestic mitigation scheme refers to or formally integrates the mechanism as an instrument for implementation, coverage by mandatory domestic mitigation scheme is not considered double counting.)
 - The mitigation outcomes (e.g. emission reductions, removal enhancements, renewable energy generation, energy efficiency improvements) for which issuance of A6.4ERs is requested are not also claimed in other frameworks or environmental markets (e.g. guarantees of origin for renewable electricity generation, green hydrogen schemes, low-carbon fuel standards), based on appropriate evidence and justification;*
(Note that: Where the policy for establishing the frameworks or environmental markets refers to or formally integrates the mechanism as an instrument for implementation, overlap with the framework or environmental market is not considered double counting.)
 - When full or partial impact of the activity is covered under mandatory domestic mitigation scheme and counted towards the achievement of targets and obligations under mandatory domestic mitigation scheme, assess whether the relevant share of the impact counted towards the achievement of the targets or obligations was deducted from the amount requested for issuance.*

SECTION F. Internal quality control

>>

- *Provide information on the quality control within the DOE's verification team and in the verification process;*

SECTION G. Verification opinion

>>

- *Provide a verification opinion that includes:*
 - (a) *A summary of the verification method, the process used and the verification criteria applied;*
 - (b) *A summary of the verification conclusions and on the verified amount of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals achieved.*

SECTION H. Certification statement

>>

- *Provide a statement certifying, in writing, that the project achieved the verified amount of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals during the specified monitoring period that would not have occurred in the absence of the project.*

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

- List all the abbreviations used in this report.

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

- Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of the verification team members and the technical reviewers.

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

- List all documents reviewed or referenced during the verification including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:
 - Title: provide the title of the document. Including the version number, if applicable;
 - Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issued the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization;
 - References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the version, dates of completion/publication and URL;
 - Provider: choose one of the options to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review. Select 'Others' for documents that were provided by those other than the activity participants.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 4. CLs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>> Indicate the section number of the verification report to which each CL corresponds.
Description of the issue:	>> Provide a detailed description of the issue raised.		
	Requirements:	>> Indicate against which paragraph of which regulatory document the issue was raised.	Date: Enter a date
	>> Include the response provided by the activity participants.		

Responses from activity participants:	Evidences provided:	>> <i>Indicate which evidence was provided by the activity participants to support the response.</i>	Date:	Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>> <i>Provide a detailed assessment of the response and indicate whether the response is sufficient to close the CL, otherwise provide the rationale for not accepting the responses and/or evidence.</i>		Date:	Enter a date

- *If needed, copy the table above for each CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:*
 - Responses from activity participants;*
 - DOE assessment.*

Table 5. CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>> <i>Indicate the section number of the verification report to which each CAR corresponds.</i>	
Description of the issue:	>> <i>Provide a detailed description of the issue raised.</i>			
	Requirement:	>> <i>Indicate against which paragraph of which regulatory document the issue was raised.</i>	Date:	Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>> <i>Include the response provided by the activity participants.</i>			
	Evidences provided:	>> <i>Indicate which evidence was provided by the activity participants to support the response</i>	Date:	Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>> <i>Provide a detailed assessment of the response and indicate whether the response is sufficient to close the CAR, otherwise provide the rationale for not accepting the responses and/or evidence.</i>		Date:	Enter a date

- *If needed, copy the table above for each CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed.*
 - Responses from activity participants;*
 - DOE assessment.*

Table 6. FARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>> <i>Indicate the section number of the verification report to which each FAR corresponds</i>	
Description of the issue:	>> <i>Provide a detailed description of the issue raised.</i>			
	Requirements:	>> <i>Indicate against which paragraph of which regulatory document the issue was raised.</i>	Date:	Enter a date

- *If needed, copy the table above for each FAR.*

APPENDIX 5 – Assessment of the deviation from elements and criteria of the SD tool or its forms when it results in unavoidable negative impacts

SECTION 1. IMPACT OF THE DEVIATION	
Description of the impact of the deviation on the social, environmental and sustainable development impacts form the project:	<p>>></p> <p><i>Provide description of the impact of the deviation on social, environmental and sustainable development impacts from the proposed project.</i></p>

SECTION 2. ASSESSMENT OF THE DEVIATION	
Assessment of the deviation:	<p>Provide assessment whether all unavoidable negative impacts for each of the 11 elements of the A6.4 SD Tool, their implications and the monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators are identified and described following the requirements of the A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to avoid the negative impacts identified is provided. If complete avoidance is not possible, justifications for why avoidance is not technically and financially feasible are provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether of evidence of the measures and actions undertaken or to be undertaken to minimize, mitigate and control the unavoidable negative impacts is provided and in accordance with A6.4 SD Tool. If unavoidable negative impacts persisted, justifications for why further measures and actions are not technically and financially feasible as well as evidence of the remediation measures undertaken or to be undertaken are provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether evidence that the unavoidable impacts comply with the 11th preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, as well as relevant and applicable national and international instruments to which the host Party is bound and applicable legislation in the host Party is provided:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the activity participants have duly informed local stakeholders and have duly invited them to provide comments in accordance with the requirements of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>Provide assessment whether the comments received have been appropriately addressed in accordance with the provisions of the activity standard:</p> <p>>></p>
	<p>DOE assessment:</p> <p>>> Provide a clear and precise assessment of the case, based on evidence provided by the activity participant as per the activity standard, including input from local stakeholders if any.</p>

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	1 April 2026	Revision to align with the provisions of the “Standard: Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects” (version 3.0) (A6.4-STAN-AC-003) and respective methodological documents.
01.0	11 December 2024	Initial publication of form template.

Decision Class: Regulatory
Document Type: Form
Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle
Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, A6.4 projects, project implementation, verifying and certifying
