



VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS (Version 02.0)

BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT

UNFCCC project reference number:	>>		
Project title:	>>		
Version number of the PDD to which this validation report applies:	>>		
Participating Parties and activity participant(s):	Type of Party	Party that provided authorization	Activity Participant
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines, and their versions:	Reference number	Title	Version
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
	>>	>>	>>
Sectoral scope(s):	>>		
Estimated annual emission reductions and/or net removals over the crediting period (tCO₂e/year):	Emission reductions:	>>	
	Net removals:	>>	
	Total emission reductions and net removals	>>	
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE VALIDATION REPORT			
Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Version number:	>>	
	Date of completion:	Enter a date.	
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	>>		
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	>>		

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	>>

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk/documents review**

>>

C.2. On-site inspection*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

C.3. Interviews*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve	>>	>>	>>
Description of the project	>>	>>	>>
Treatment of double or revived registration	>>	>>	>>
Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
Application of methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party, if applicable	>>	>>	>>

- Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool	>>	>>	>>
- Project boundary, sources, sinks, GHGs, and reservoirs	>>	>>	>>
- Identification of the baseline scenario	>>	>>	>>
- Identification and description of Business-as-usual scenario	>>	>>	>>
- Demonstration of additionality	>>	>>	>>
- Addressing non-permanence for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks	>>	>>	>>
- Addressing leakage	>>	>>	>>
- Estimation of GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Start date, crediting period type and duration	>>	>>	>>
Deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool (if applicable)	>>	>>	>>
Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts	>>	>>	>>
Monitoring plan of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators			
Local/subnational stakeholder consultation	>>	>>	>>
Host Party approval	>>	>>	>>
Host Party/other participating Party authorization	>>	>>	>>
Global stakeholder consultation	>>	>>	>>
Modalities of communication	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for registration

D.1. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.3. Description of the project

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.4. Treatment of double or revived registration

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.5. Addressing double counting due to overlapping claims between different Article 6.4 mechanism activities, due to overlap with domestic mitigation schemes and due to overlap with other frameworks or environmental markets

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.6. Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines**D.7.1. Application of methodologies**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.2. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.3. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party, if applicable

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.6. Project boundary, sources, sinks, GHGs, reservoirs

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.7. Identification of the baseline scenario

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.8. Identification and description of Business-as-usual scenario

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.9. Demonstration of additionality

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.10. Addressing leakage

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.11. Estimation of GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals

D.7.11.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.11.2. Data and parameters fixed *ex-ante*

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.11.3. *Ex-ante* calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.11.4. Summary of *ex-ante* GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.12. Addressing non-permanence for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.13. Monitoring plan**D.7.13.1. Data and parameters to be monitored**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.7.13.2. Description of the monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.8. Start date, crediting period type and duration

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.9. Deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool (if applicable)

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.10. Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.11. Monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.12. Local/subnational stakeholder consultation

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.13. Host Party approval

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.14. Host Party/other participating Parties authorization

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.15. Modalities of communication

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.16. Global stakeholder consultation

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.17. Integrity safeguards

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidence provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

Table 2. CARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidence provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>v		
			Date: Enter a date

Table 3. FARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form

1. General instructions

1. Compliance with requirements, regulations and standards

- 1.1 When completing this form, comply with the “Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects”.¹
- 1.2 Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its validation conclusions. This information may include, but not limited to, tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3 List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.

2. Working language

- 2.1 Complete this form in English.
- 2.2 Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language.

3. Format and lay-out of the form

- 3.1 Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2 Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.

4. Sections not applicable

- 4.1 If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.

5. Other instructions


- 5.1 Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.2 Complete this form removing this Attachment.

6. Acronyms and abbreviations

- 6.1 The following acronyms and abbreviations are referred to in this form:
 - (a) PDD: project design document;
 - (b) Activity standard: Article 6.4 activity standard for projects;
 - (c) Activity cycle procedure: Article 6.4 activity cycle procedure for projects.

¹ The “Rules and Regulations” section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (<https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations>) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activities.

2. Specific instructions

 VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS (Version 02.0)			
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT			
UNFCCC reference number:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC unique reference number received for the proposed project received after publishing the notification of prior consideration.</i>		
Project title:	>> <i>Provide the title of the proposed project as in the PDD.</i>		
Version number of the PDD to which this validation report applies:	>> <i>Provide the version number of the PDD to which this validation report is applied.</i>		
Participating Parties and activity participant(s):	Type of Party	Party that provided authorization	Activity participant
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
	Choose a type of Party.	Choose a Party.	>>
<i>Provide the name of each activity participant that is authorized by the host Party or other participating Party and indicate the type of the Party (i.e. 'Host Party' or 'Other participating Party').</i>			
Applied mechanism methodologies and standardised baselines, and their versions: (Add rows per each mechanism methodology/ standardized baseline)	Reference Number	Title	Version
	<i>Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers of the applied mechanism methodologies, and where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i>	<i>Provide the UNFCCC titles of the applied mechanism methodologies, and where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i>	<i>Provide the UNFCCC versions of the applied mechanism methodologies, and where applicable, the applied standardized baselines</i>
Sectoral scope(s):	>> <i>Provide all sectoral scopes of the proposed project based on the applied methodologies, using one line per sectoral scope.</i>		
Estimated annual emission reductions and/or net removals over the crediting period (tCO₂e/year):	Emission reductions:	<i>Provide the estimated average annual amount of emission reductions to be achieved by the proposed project.</i>	
	Net removals:	<i>Provide the estimated average annual amount of net removals to be achieved by the proposed project.</i>	

	Total emission reductions and net removals:	<i>Provide the estimated average annual amount of emission reductions and net removals to be achieved by the proposed project.</i>
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE VALIDATION REPORT		
Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Version number: >> <i>Provide the version number of this validation report.</i> Date of completion: Enter a date.	
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC reference number and the name of the validating DOE.</i>	
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	>> <i>Provide the name and include the digital signature of the approver of the validation report in the DOE.</i>	

SECTION A. Executive summary

>> Provide a summary of the project (including the purpose and general description and location), the scope of the validation, the validation process and its conclusion.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Provide the details of the validation team members.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>

Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the validation report.

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the “Standard: Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk/documents review**

>> *Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the validation process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.*

C.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

Summarize all on-site inspections performed during the validation in the table.

If the DOE have not conducted an on-site inspection, describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

C.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

Summarize all interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table.

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

- Where a sampling approach is used for the validation, summarize the sampling approach used during the validation (e.g. random sampling).*
- Where a sampling approach is used for the on-site inspection, include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out.*

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism	>>	>>	>>
Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve	>>	>>	>>
Description of the project	>>	>>	>>
Treatment of double or revived registration	>>	>>	>>

Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
Application of methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality, specified by the host Party, if applicable	>>	>>	>>
- Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool	>>	>>	>>
- Project boundary, sources, sinks, GHGs, and reservoirs	>>	>>	>>
- Identification of the baseline scenario	>>	>>	>>
- Identification and description of Business-as-usual scenario	>>	>>	>>
- Demonstration of additionality	>>	>>	>>
- Addressing non-permanence for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks	>>	>>	>>
- Addressing leakage	>>	>>	>>
- Estimation of GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Start date, crediting period type and duration	>>	>>	>>
Deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool (if applicable)	>>	>>	>>
Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts	>>	>>	>>
Monitoring plan of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators	>>	>>	>>
Local/subnational stakeholder consultation	>>	>>	>>
Host Party approval	>>	>>	>>
Host Party and other participating Party authorization	>>	>>	>>
Global stakeholder consultation			
Modalities of communication	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

Indicate the numbers of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and outcome from the DOE) in Appendix 4.

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for registration

Complete the tables in this section to validate the compliance with each specific requirement for registration in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:

- (a) Means of validation: describe how the compliance was validated;
- (b) Findings: provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 below details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised;
- (c) Conclusion: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

D.1. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain when the notification of prior consideration was submitted to the UNFCCC secretariat in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure, the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

D.2. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the type of the proposed project is within the types of activities indicated by the host Party that it would approve. Refer to the information contained in the form 'Host Party participation requirements for Article 6.4 mechanism' submitted by the host Party to the secretariat and uploaded in the UNFCCC website in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure, the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

D.3. Description of the project

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the description of the proposed project, including the pre-activity scenario and baseline scenario, was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, including:

- Pre-activity scenario as per the requirements of the applied methodology(ies);
- Technology/measures to be employed and/or implemented by the project;

- *Precise geographical location or other means of identification;*
- *Start date of the proposed project, and start date, type and duration of the crediting period as approved by the host Party when it approved the project;*
- *Estimated amount of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals during the crediting period;*
- *Summary of deviations (if applicable).*

D.4. Treatment of double or revived registration

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compliance with the requirement relating to double or revived registration contained in the activity standard was assessed based on the publicly available information and/or the information provided by the activity participants.

D.5. Addressing double counting due to overlapping claims between different Article 6.4 mechanism activities, due to overlap with domestic mitigation schemes and due to overlap with other frameworks or environmental markets

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

if the double counting due to overlapping claims between different A6.4 activities, due to overlap with mandatory domestic mitigation schemes and due to overlap with other frameworks or environmental markets in accordance with the activity standard was addressed in accordance with the validation and verification standard, the applied methodologies and any other applied methodological regulatory documents.

D.6. Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- *Explain how the selection of methodologies, methodological tools and standardized baselines to the proposed project was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.*
- *Confirm that the selected versions of methodologies, methodological tools and standardized baselines are valid at the time of submission of the proposed project for registration.*
- *Explain how the compatibility of the selection of the methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.*

D.7. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines

D.7.1. Application of methodologies

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Explain how the application of the methodologies to the proposed project was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.7.2. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Explain how the application of the methodological tools or other applied methodological regulatory documents to the proposed project was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodological tools or other methodological regulatory documents with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.7.3. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Explain how the application of selected standardized baselines to the proposed project was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- Explain how the compatibility of the application of the standardized baselines with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.7.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party, if applicable

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

- Explain how the compatibility of the baseline approach identified for the project with the baseline approaches specified by the host Party, if applicable, was validated in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard. If a standardized baseline is applied to the proposed project, just indicate so by referring to section D.7.3.
- Explain how the compatibility with other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, if applicable, was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.7.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

If there is a deviation from the selected approved methodology or methodological tool, determine whether the activity participants had obtained a clarification from the Supervisory Body that such deviation is acceptable in accordance with the "Procedure for development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools".

D.7.6. Project boundary, sources, sinks, GHGs, reservoirs

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how sources, sinks, GHGs and reservoirs included in the project boundary for the purpose of calculating project emissions/removals and/or baseline emissions/removals were assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard, activity standard, the applied methodologies and other methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.7. Identification of the baseline scenario

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the baseline scenario identified for the proposed project was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, activity standard, the applied methodologies, standardized baseline and other methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.8. Identification and description of Business-as-usual scenario

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the business-as-usual scenario identified and described for the proposed project was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, activity standard, the applied methodologies, standardized baseline and other methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.9. Demonstration of additionality

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the demonstration of additionality of the proposed project was assessed in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the applied methodological tools for demonstration of additionality, as well as baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.7.10. Addressing leakage

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how leakage was assessed in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the applied methodological tools and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.7.11. Estimation of GHG emission reductions and net GHG removals**D.7.11.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions and/or net removals**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the equations and parameters applied to calculate GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals for the proposed project were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the and other applied methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.11.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how each of the ex-ante parameters applied for the GHG calculations were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements of the applied methodologies, standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents to calculate baseline emissions/removals, project emissions/removals and leakage as well as GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.7.11.3. Ex-ante calculation of emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the data and parameters fixed ex ante that are used in the equations to calculate GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals for the proposed project were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.7.11.4. Summary of ex-ante emission reductions and/or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the ex-ante estimates of baseline, project and leakage GHG emissions as well as GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals were assessed, including whether the estimates can be replicated, in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.7.12. Addressing non-permanence for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the risk of non-permanence of GHG emission reductions and/or net GHG removals and the measures to address risks of reversals were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, activity standard, the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the and other applied methodological regulatory documents.

D.7.13. Monitoring plan**D.7.13.1. Data and parameters to be monitored**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the data and parameters to be monitored or estimated upon implementation of the proposed project that are used in the equations to calculate GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the the validation and verification standard and the activity standard, and where applicable, the standard for sampling and surveys for Article 6.4 mechanism activities to be developed by the Supervisory Body.

D.7.13.2. Description of the monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the description of the monitoring plan for the proposed project were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.8. Start date, crediting period type and duration

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State whether the type and the length of the crediting period of the proposed project are in line with those that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs, if applicable, and whether the start date of the crediting period was determined as per the requirements of the activity standard.

D.9. Deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool (if applicable)

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compliance with the requirements relating to submission and approval of a deviation from elements and criteria of the A6.4 SD Tool as per activity cycle procedure for projects and activity standard was demonstrated and assessed.

D.10. Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State how the assessment of the environmental and social impacts and sustainable development impacts was conducted as per the requirements of the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool and validation and verification standard.

D.11. Monitoring of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State how it was assessed that the monitoring of the activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators is in compliance with the requirements of the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool and validation and verifications standard.

D.12. Local/subnational stakeholder consultation

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State how the local/subnational stakeholder consultation was conducted as per the requirements of the validation and verification standard and activity standard.

D.13. Host Party approval

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State whether the DNA of the host Party has provided an approval of the proposed project through the dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

D.14. Host Party/other participating Parties authorization

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State whether each activity participant listed in the PDD has been authorized to participate in the proposed project by the host Party or other participating Party through the dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

D.15. Modalities of communication

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the modalities of communication (MoC) statement was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.16. Global stakeholder consultation

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the global stakeholder consultation process was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.17. Integrity safeguards

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

State whether the activity participants prepared a declaration that the development, implementation or operation of the project does not involve any illegal activities, including money laundering, tax evasion, fraud, bribery and criminal activities.

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

Provide information on the quality control within the validation team and in the validation process.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>> *Provide a validation opinion that includes:*

- (a) A summary of the validation method and the process used and the validation criteria applied.*
- (b) A summary of the validation conclusions.*
- (c) A statement on the validation of the estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals.*
- (d) A statement on whether the proposed A6.4 project meets all applicable Article 6.4 mechanism rules and requirements, including reasons.*

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

Use the table above to list all the abbreviations used in this report.

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>> Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewers.

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>> Choose an item.

Use the table above to list all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:

- (a) Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable.
- (b) Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s).
- (c) References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication, versions of documents and URL.
- (d) Provider: choose 'Activity participants' or 'Others' to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidence provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from the activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the validation report to which each CL corresponds.

Table 2. CARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidence provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
			Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed.

- (a) Responses from the activity participants;
- (b) DOE assessment;
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the validation report to which each CAR corresponds.

Table 3. FARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each FAR. In each table, indicate the section number of the validation report to which each FAR corresponds.

Document information

Version	Date	Description
02.0	1 April 2026	Revision to align with the provisions of the “Standard: Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects” (version 03.0) (A6.4-STAN-AC-003) and respective methodological documents.
01.0	11 December 2024	Initial publication of form template.

Decision Class: Regulatory
 Document Type: Form
 Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle
 Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, A6.4 projects, project implementation, validation report