

**Adaptation Committee: Expert meeting on national adaptation goals/indicators**

## Session 3

Creating synergy among adaptation, SDGs and Sendai Framework and improving the assessment of progress at national level

Subtopic a. Overview of national adaptation goals/indicators and M&E frameworks

Tokyo, Japan, 25 July 2018



*Damdin Davgadorj, Adaptation Committee member*

## a1. What progress needs to be measured and what type of information is required and by whom, including opportunities and limitations of indicators to provide such information?

---

### ❖ What progress?

- Progress looks different for every country because of a context-specific feature of Adaptation

### ❖ Why we need progress?

- To know where we are (whether becoming more/less resilient and whether our adaptation actions are working or not)

### ❖ What type of information?

- Need to have a common understanding of what we mean by 'indicator'
- Quantitative information can be aggregated and helpful at the global level, but only counting a number sometimes will not tell you anything
- Qualitative information is significant at national level to improve operations and identify key sectors
- Accredited/validated data and information by the government which meets a certain standard



## a1. What progress needs to be measured and what type of information is required and by whom, including opportunities and limitations of indicators to provide such information?

### ❖ By whom?

- Need effective coordination with a statistical office
- Involve those that are supposed to deliver the data in reporting and develop a reporting system together

### ❖ Opportunities and limitations

- In some countries, the different reporters on data are the same people/agencies, in the others are different agencies/people, different ministries
- Data should be based on agreed formats but the indicators, comprising those data, can be aggregated on elements with different methods in different countries



## a2. What lessons have been learned and good practices be identified in measuring adaptation progress at the national level?

---

- ❖ Evaluation for adaptation programme can be done without any indicators and also can be conducted by external experts (Experience from South Korea)
- ❖ Need information across sectors and from the local level to help inform understanding at the national level
- ❖ Choose indicators that can be aggregated at the national level
- ❖ Consultation with sector experts and local communities – what indicators are meaningful to them?
- ❖ Multi-scalar approach but simple
- ❖ DRR indicators can be taken, but they will not be sufficient enough to understand the entire picture of adaptation
- ❖ Coordinating among lined ministries and raising the political priority of environment and DRR policy at the national government with high level involvement



Thank you

Arigatou Gozaimashita

